



2nd Half Yearly Monitoring Report

on MDM

**for the State/UT of
JHARKHAND**

**for the period of
1st Oct. 2014 to 31st March 2015**

District Monitored/Covered

- 1.Deogher**
- 2.Dumka**
- 3.Jamtara**

FOREWORD

Xavier Institute of Social Service, Ranchi, (Monitoring Institute) in charge of monitoring of 12 districts of Jharkhand State/UT feels privileged to be one of the Monitoring Institutions across the country for broad based monitoring of MDM activities.

This is the 2nd half yearly report for the year 2014-15 and is based on the data collected from the districts, namely; Deoghar, Dumka and Jamtara. I hope the findings of the report would be helpful to both Govt. of India and the State Govt. of Jharkhand State/UT to understand the grassroots level problems as well as the achievement and functioning of MDM in the state and to plan further necessary interventions.

In this context, I extend my hearty thanks to Ajit Tirkey (Nodal Officer), monitoring MDM and his team members, who have rendered a good service by taking pains to visit the schools located in the most inaccessible areas and preparing the report in time. I am extremely thankful to the authorities of the State office and the district offices for their unhesitating cooperation during the data collection.

(Dr. Alex Ekka sj)
Director
Xavier Institute of Social Service
Dr. Camile Bulcke Path
Post Bag No. 07
Ranchi 834 001, Jharkhand

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This report would not have been possible without the active support of the state project office of MDM for the state of Jharkhand and the district offices in respect of the districts visited. We thank the State Project Director, MDM and the District Project Coordinators of MDM for their cooperation.

Our heartfelt thanks are due to all the officials of MDM and Education Department, who helped the members of the visiting team in conducting field visit and to all the Headmasters and teachers in the schools visited, who provided us with relevant information.

We also thank all others, who have cooperated in the monitoring and supervision works.

We are also thankful to the Govt. of India officials, Additional Secretary (SE&L), Director and Deputy Secretary, Under Secretary, Department of School Education & Literacy, Ministry of Human Resource Development, Shashtri Bhawan, C Wing, Room No. 405, New Delhi - 110001 for providing an opportunity to undertake monitoring activities of SSA-RTE and providing funds.

We are also thankful to Senior Consultant (Monitoring) SSA, Ed.CIL (India) Limited, National Support Group, Mid Day Meal Scheme, Vijaya Building, 5th Floor, 17 – Barakhamba Road, New Delhi – 110001, looking after the Monitoring Institutions activities and their staffs for continuous support and valuable guidance time to time.

We are also thankful to Project Manager (MDM), Ed.CIL (India) Limited, National Support Group, Mid Day Meal Scheme, Vijaya Building, 5th Floor, 17 – Barakhamba Road, New Delhi – 110001, for releasing the funds time to time.

Name of the Nodal Officer: Ajit Tirkey
Designation as per the Institution: Assistant Project Coordinator
Designation provided by MHRD: Nodal Officer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sl. No.	Details of Contents	Page No.
01.	Foreword	2
02.	Acknowledgment	3
03.	Table of Contents	4
04.	List of Abbreviations	5
05.	General Information	6-7
06.	Executive Summary of all the Districts	8-15
07.	Key Findings and General Observations	16
08.	Cover Page of the District Report - D1 Deoghar	17
09.	Detailed District Report - D1 Deoghar	18-22
10.	List of Schools with Key Findings	23-25
11.	List of the Schools with DISE Code Visited by MI	26
12.	Name, Designations & Address of Persons Contacted: District Deoghar	27
13.	Any other relevant documents	28
14.	Cover Page of the District Report - D2 Dumka	29
15.	Detailed District Report - D2 Dumka	30-34
16.	List of Schools with Key Findings	35-38
17.	List of the Schools with DISE Code Visited by MI	39
18.	Name, Designations & Address of Persons Contacted: District Dumka	40
19.	Any other relevant documents	41
20.	Cover Page of the District Report - D3 Jamtara	42
21.	Detailed District Report - D3 Jamtara	43-47
22.	List of Schools with Key Findings	48-51
23.	List of the Schools with DISE Code Visited by MI	52
24.	Name, Designations & Address of Persons Contacted: District Jamtara	53
25.	Any other relevant documents	54

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADPO	Additional District Programme Officer	MOU	Memorandum of Agreement
BEO	Block Education Extension Officer	MS	Middle School
BPO	Block Programme Officer	NCLP	National Child Labour Programme
BRC	Block Resource Centre	NGO	Non Governmental Organization
BRP	Block Resource Person	NPS	<i>Nav Prathamik</i> School
CAL	Computer Aided Learning	NSG	National Support Group
CD	Community Development	OBC	Other Backward Caste
CRC	Cluster Resource Centre	OoSC	Out of School Children
CRP	Cluster Resource Person	PRI	Panchayati Raj Institution
CWSN	Children With Special Needs	PS	Primary School
DCF	Data Capture Format	PTA	Parents Teachers Association
DIET	District Institute of Education & Training	RMS	Rajkiyakrit Middle School
DISE	District Information System & Education	RTE	Right To Education
DPO	District Programme Officer	RTI	Right To Information
DSE	District Superintendent of Education	SC	Scheduled Caste
Ed.CIL	Education Consultant India Limited	ST	Scheduled Tribe
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization	SHG	Self Help Group
GOI	Government of India	SMC	School Management Committee
IFA	Iron Folic Acid	SPD	State Project Director
JE	Junior Engineer	SSA	<i>Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan</i>
JEPC	Jharkhand Education Project Council	STC	Special training Centre
JKH	Jharkhand	TOR	Terms of Reference
KGBV	Kasturba Gandhi Balika Vidyalaya	TSG	Technical Support Group
MDM	Mid Day Meal	UMS	Upgraded Middle School
MHRD	Ministry of Human Resource Development	UPS	Upper Primary School
MI	Monitoring Institution	UT	Union Territory
MIS	Monitoring & Information System	VEC	Village Education Committee
MTA	Mother Teacher Association	XISS	Xavier Institute of Social Service

2nd Half Yearly Monitoring Report of Xavier Institute of Social Service, Ranchi (Monitoring Institution) on MDMS for the State/UT of Jharkhand for the period of 1st Oct. 2014 to 31st March 2015.

1. General Information

SN	Information	Details		
1.	Name of the monitoring institute	Xavier Institute of Social Service		
2.	Period of the report	1 st Oct. 2014 to 31 st March 2015		
3.	No. of Districts allotted	- 03 -		
4.	Districts' name	1- Deoghar, 2-Dumka, 3-Jamtara		
5.	Date of visit to the Districts / Schools (Information is to be given district wise i.e District 1, District 2, District 3 etc)	February 2015		
6.	Total number of schools covered by MI in sample districts (Information is to be given district wise i.e. District 1, District 2, District 3 etc.)	District 1: 40 (37 + 03) District 2: 40 (37 + 03) District 3: 40 (37 + 03)		
7.	Total number of elementary schools (primary and upper primary to be counted separately) in the Districts Covered by MI (Information is to be given district wise i.e District 1, District 2, District 3 etc.)	District	PS	UPS
		Deoghar	15	25
		Dumka	14	26
		Jamtara	15	25
8.	What percentage of schools covered in all the Districts allotted:	-		
9.	No. of schools visited component wise			
A.	Schools in Rural Area	108		
a)	Primary School	38		
b)	Upper Primary School	70		
c)	Upper Primary Schools with Primary Classes	70		
B.	Schools in Urban Areas	32		
d)	Primary School	06		
e)	Upper Primary School	26		
f)	Upper Primary Schools with Primary Classes	26		
C.	NCLP Schools	-		
D.	School sanctioned with Kitchen cum Stores	95		
E.	Schools having Cook cum helpers engaged as per norms	111		
10.	Number of schools visited by Nodal Officer of the Monitoring Institute	37		
11.	Whether the draft report has been shared with the Director of the nodal department implementing MDMS : YES / NO	Yes		
12.	After submission of the draft report to the Director of the nodal department implementing MDMS whether the MI has received any observation from the Directorate: Y/N	No		

13. Details regarding discussion held with state officials

Prior to data collection and field verification, the state level officials have been duly approached for their cooperation as required. Later on, detailed discussions were held with the officials and functionaries at the respective DPOs and BRCs/CRCs. The SPO has extended all the necessary supports by issuing the letter to the respective DPOs regarding the monitoring activities and tentative dates of visit of the team members from MI.

14. Selection Criteria for Schools

The sampling of the schools was carried out as per the TOR of Ministry of HRD. Altogether 40 Schools/Centres corresponding to the required number under different components have been selected. The purposive sampling and random sampling techniques are applied to select the sample schools/centres. The district and block officials/functionaries were closely involved to have the best possible sampling component wise to be incorporated and assessed as well.

Sampling/Sample Size

Sl. No.	Parameters/Criterion for the Selection of Schools	CD Blocks			Total
		Rural	Rural	Urban	
01.	High Gender Gap in Enrolment	1	1	2	4
02.	Higher Proportion of SC/ST Students	1	2	2	5
03.	Low Retention Rate & High Drop-Out Rate	1	1	1	3
04.	Habitation with Out of School Children (OoSC)	1	1	-	2
05.	Habitation with Urban Deprived Children	-	-	2	2
06.	Habitation with Seasonal Migration	1	1	-	2
07.	Forest/Far Flung Area	1	1	-	2
08.	Habitation with Recurrent Natural Calamity	1	1	1	3
09.	Special Training Centres - Residential	1	1	1	3
10.	Special Training Centres - Non-Residential	1	1	1	3
11.	Civil Work Sanctioned	1	-	1	2
12.	Children With Special Needs (CWSN)	1	1	1	3
13.	Computer Aided Learning (CAL)	1	1	1	3
14.	Kasturba Gandhi Balika Vidyalaya (KGBV)	1	1	1	3
	Total	13	13	14	40

Note: *KGBVs monitored are inclusive of the total sampled schools, but not included in the district reports as they have separate funds for the meals etc. and thus, not covered by the funds under MDM scheme.*

15. Items to be attached with the report:

- List of Schools with DISE code visited by MI - Yes
- List of the Schools visited, Contact Persons & Designation - Yes
- List of Schools as per Key Findings - Yes

2.0 Executive Summary of all the 03 District Reports

2.1 Regularity in Supply of Hot Cooked Meal

District 1 Deoghar	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Hot and cooked meal is being served regularly by 36(97%) sample schools.• However, there was no meal in UPS Kalyanraitharhi for last 06 consecutive days from the visit due to lack of cooking cost.
District 2 Dumka	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Hot and cooked meal is being served regularly by only 17 (46%) sample schools.• There was no meal in 20 (54%) schools due to lack of food grains.
District 3 Jamtara	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Hot and cooked meal is being served regularly by 35(95%) sample schools.• However, the meal was not being served in PS Naradih and UPS Duladih due to lack of cooking cost.

2.2 Trend

District 1 Deoghar	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The number of children enrolled in sample schools is 10469.• The number of children availed MDM as per MDM register 7747.• The number of children availed MDM on the day of visit is 7747, as the children present on the day do avail MDM barring around 1-2 per cent of the children, who avoid MDM for reasons i.e. health ground, social status, guardians' instruction etc.
District 2 Dumka	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The number of children enrolled in sample schools is 7624.• The number of children availed MDM as per MDM register 4621.• The number of children availed MDM on the day of visit is 4621, as the children present on the day do avail MDM barring around 1-2 per cent of the children, who avoid MDM for reasons i.e. health ground, social status, guardians' instruction etc.
District 3 Jamtara	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The number of children enrolled in sample schools is 6957.• The number of children availed MDM as per MDM register 4982.• The number of children availed MDM on the day of visit is 4982, as the children present on the day do avail MDM barring around 1-2 per cent of the children, who avoid MDM for reasons i.e. health ground, social status, guardians' instruction etc.

2.3 Regularity in Delivering Food Grains to School Level

District 1 Deoghar	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 37 (100%) sample schools are being supplied with the food grains regularly.• One month's buffer stock is maintained in 37 (100%) schools visited and the food grains are directly delivered to all the sample schools.• The food grains are directly delivered to all the sample schools.
District 2 Dumka	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 17 (46%) sample schools are being supplied with the food grains regularly, barring 20 (54%) cases wherein delay has been reported.• One month's buffer stock is not being maintained in 20 (54%) schools visited, as the schools were reported without food grains since more than one month or so.• The food grains are directly delivered to all the sample schools.
District 3 Jamtara	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• 35 (95%) sample schools are being supplied with the food grains regularly, barring 02 (5%) cases wherein delay has been reported.• One month's buffer stock is maintained in 37 (100%) schools visited.• The food grains are directly delivered to all the sample schools.

2.4 Regularity in Delivering Cooking Cost to School

District 1 Deoghar	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Altogether 36 (97%) schools are reported to have cooking cost in advance regularly against the irregularity in getting the same in only 01(3%) school visited. Despite there is effort to provide it in advance, the problem arises due to departmental delay in next advance. In case of delay the schools/agencies make their own arrangement. Apart from the usual banking facility, E-transfer for allocation of funds has been introduced recently.
District 2 Dumka	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Altogether 37 (100%) schools are reported to have cooking cost in advance regularly. Despite there is effort to provide it in advance, the problem arises due to departmental delay in next advance. In case of delay the schools/agencies make their own arrangement. Apart from the usual banking facility, E-transfer for allocation of funds has been introduced recently.
District 3 Jamtara	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Altogether 35 (95%) schools are reported to have cooking cost in advance regularly against the irregularity in getting the same in 02 (5%) schools visited. Despite there is effort to provide it in advance, the problem arises due to departmental delay in next advance. In case of delay the schools/agencies make their own arrangement. Apart from the usual banking facility, E-transfer for allocation of funds has been introduced recently.

2.5 Social Equity

District 1 Deoghar	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Children sit together but in a number of small groups to accommodate each one. No discrimination is observed in terms of gender.
District 2 Dumka	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Children sit together but in a number of small groups to accommodate each one. No discrimination is observed in terms of gender.
District 3 Jamtara	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Children sit together but in a number of small groups to accommodate each one. No discrimination is observed in terms of gender.

2.6 Variety of Menu

District 1 Deoghar	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The weekly menu is a part of wall writing and quite noticeable in 34 (92%) sample schools, but often unable to adhere to the menu displayed. The menu was not found displayed in UPS Tasaria, UPS Ramsagar and PS Hindola Baran. Menu is decided centrally and has been implemented statewide. Variety of food is served in 100% sample schools and it includes rice, pulses, vegetables and fruits/eggs once in a week.
District 2 Dumka	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The weekly menu is a part of wall writing and quite noticeable in 29 (78%) sample schools, but often unable to adhere to the menu displayed. The menu was not found displayed in PS Kanhaiyapur, PS Madanpur, UMS Baghmari, UMS Dumaria, UMS Asanthar, AMS Jama, RKMS Jama and MS

	<p>Sahara.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Menu is decided centrally and has been implemented statewide. • Variety of food is served in 100% sample schools and it includes rice, pulses, vegetables and fruits/eggs once in a week.
District 3 Jamtara	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The weekly menu is a part of wall writing and quite noticeable in 32 (86%) sample schools, but often unable to adhere to the menu displayed. • The menu was not found displayed in UPS Kusumdaha, UPS Sibram, PS Yashpur, UMS Gwaldangal and AMS Kundait. • Menu is decided centrally and has been implemented statewide. • Variety of food is served in 100% sample schools and it includes rice, pulses, vegetables and fruits/eggs once in a week.

2.7 Quality and Quantity of Meal

District 1 Deoghar	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The quality of food served was reported to be good in 17 (46%) sample schools as they were reported to be neat/clean and tasty, against 20 (54%) schools, wherein the quality of food was reported as average. • As per the children, the quantity of food served is sufficient in all the schools visited. • However, things can be always improved by special orientation on health and hygiene of cooks and the members of VEC/SMC.
District 2 Dumka	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The quality of food served was reported to be good in 18 (49%) sample schools as they were reported to be neat/clean and tasty, against 19 (51%) schools, wherein the quality of food was reported as average. • As per the children, the quantity of food served is sufficient in all the schools visited. • However, things can be always improved by special orientation on health and hygiene of cooks and the members of VEC/SMC.
District 3 Jamtara	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The quality of food served was reported to be good in 18 (49%) sample schools as they were reported to be neat/clean and tasty, against 19 (51%) schools, wherein the quality of food was reported as average. • As per the children, the quantity of food served is sufficient in all the schools visited. • However, things can be always improved by special orientation on health and hygiene of cooks and the members of VEC/SMC.

2.8 School Health Programme

District 1 Deoghar	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Iron Folic Acid was provided in 25 (68%) schools, Vitamin A in 02 (5%) and de-worming dosage has been provided in only 01(3%) school covered. • Nothing was provided in 09 (24%) schools monitored. • The service is administered by Govt. health department on monthly or quarterly basis. Health Card has not been introduced in any of the schools.
District 2 Dumka	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Iron Folic Acid was provided in 22 (59%) schools and Vitamin A in 05 (14%) and school covered. No school was provided de-worming dosage. • Nothing was provided in 10 (27%) schools monitored. • The service is administered by Govt. health department on monthly or quarterly basis. Health Card has not been introduced in any of the schools.

District 3 Jamtara	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Iron Folic Acid was provided in 23 (62%) schools and Vitamin A in 01 (3%) and school covered. No school was provided de-worming dosage. • Nothing was provided in 13 (35%) schools monitored. • The service is administered by Govt. health department on monthly or quarterly basis. Health Card has not been introduced in any of the schools.
--------------------	--

2.9 Status of Cooks

District 1 Deoghar	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The cook-cum-helpers are engaged as per the Govt. norms. The appointed cooks are known as <i>Sanyojika</i> and <i>Sahayika</i> belonged to <i>Mata Samiti</i>. The cook-cum-helpers are appointed by the Govt. and not by the NGOs, SHG or contractor. So far, no sample school is covered by a centralized kitchen. • Altogether 108 cooks/helpers were identified rendering their services in the sample schools. The number of cooks is sufficient in 34 (92%) schools visited. • Rs. 1000/- per Sahayika is paid as remuneration in cash. Moreover, in 37 (100%) schools visited, it is not paid regularly. • Social composition of cooks-cum-helpers is of mixed type, as they represent almost all the local social groups proportionately, i.e. 19 (18%) belonged to Scheduled Caste, 01 (1%) to Scheduled Tribe, 78 (72%) to Other Backward Community, 06 (6%) identified from Minority Group and 04 (4%) represented the General community.
District 2 Dumka	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The cook-cum-helpers are engaged as per the Govt. norms. The appointed cooks are known as <i>Sanyojika</i> and <i>Sahayika</i> belonged to <i>Mata Samiti</i>. The cook-cum-helpers are appointed by the Govt. and not by the NGOs, SHG or contractor. So far, no sample school is covered by a centralized kitchen. • Altogether 107 cooks/helpers were identified rendering their services in the sample schools. The number of cooks is sufficient in 36 (97%) schools visited. • Rs. 1000/- per Sahayika is paid as remuneration in cash. Moreover, in 37 (100%) schools visited, it is not paid regularly. • Social composition of cooks-cum-helpers is of mixed type, as they represent almost all the local social groups proportionately, i.e. 12 (11%) belonged to Scheduled Caste, 32 (30%) to Scheduled Tribe, 55 (51%) to Other Backward Community, 05 (5%) identified from Minority Group and 03 (3%) represented the General community.
District 3 Jamtara	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The cook-cum-helpers are engaged as per the Govt. norms. The appointed cooks are known as <i>Sanyojika</i> and <i>Sahayika</i> belonged to <i>Mata Samiti</i>. The cook-cum-helpers are appointed by the Govt. and not by the NGOs, SHG or contractor. So far, no sample school is covered by a centralized kitchen. • Altogether 117 cooks/helpers were identified rendering their services in the sample schools. The number of cooks is sufficient in 32 (86%) schools visited. • Rs. 1000/- per Sahayika is paid as remuneration in cash. Moreover, in 37 (100%) schools visited, it is not paid regularly. • Social composition of cooks-cum-helpers is of mixed type, as they represent almost all the local social groups proportionately, i.e. 25 (21%) belonged to Scheduled Caste, 40 (35%) to Scheduled Tribe, 45 (38%) to Other Backward Community, 04 (3%) identified from Minority Group and 03 (3%) represented the General community.

2.10 Infrastructures

District 1 Deoghar	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 25 (68%) schools have constructed kitchen sheds cum stores and also in use, whereas 06 (16%) schools do have constructed kitchen sheds cum stores, but not in use. The construction is on progress in 01 (3%) sample schools. It is sanctioned but the construction is yet to be started in 02 (5%) schools. The rest 03 (8%) schools are yet to get it sanctioned. • In case of 16 (43%) schools, wherein the pucca kitchen is not available, provisional arrangement of kitchen shed is opted either in old school buildings, in store room, in veranda, in hut or open air as per the convenience. The same applies for 06 (16%) schools, wherein pucca kitchen is available, but not in use. • The food grains/other ingredients are stored either in the store rooms, classrooms or staff rooms in case of 22 (59%) sample schools visited. • For 36 (97%) sample schools, the potable drinking water is available against 01 (3%) schools with no such arrangements. Similarly, 29 (78%) sample schools have sufficient utensils for cooking, serving and eating etc. against 08 (22%) schools with insufficient utensils. • 35 (95%) sample schools are using firewood for cooking, followed by 02 (5%) schools using both firewood and gas as per availability.
District 2 Dumka	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 24 (65%) schools have constructed kitchen sheds cum stores and also in use, whereas 06 (16%) schools do have constructed kitchen sheds cum stores, but not in use. The construction is on progress in 02 (5%) sample schools. It is sanctioned but the construction is yet to be started in 01 (3%) schools. The rest 04 (11%) schools are yet to get it sanctioned. • In case of 17 (46%) schools, wherein the pucca kitchen is not available, provisional arrangement of kitchen shed is opted either in old school buildings, in store room, in veranda, in hut or open air as per the convenience. The same applies for 06 (16%) schools, wherein pucca kitchen is available, but not in use. • The food grains/other ingredients are stored either in the store rooms, classrooms or staff rooms in case of 29 (78%) sample schools visited. • For 33 (89%) sample schools, the potable drinking water is available against 04 (11%) schools with no such arrangements. Similarly, 28 (76%) sample schools have sufficient utensils for cooking, serving and eating etc. against 09 (24%) schools with insufficient utensils. • 32 (87%) sample schools are using firewood for cooking, followed by 03 (8%) schools using coal and the rest 02 (5%) schools are using firewood, coal and also gas as per availability.
District 3 Jamtara	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 22 (59%) schools have constructed kitchen sheds cum stores and also in use, whereas 05 (14%) schools do have constructed kitchen sheds cum stores, but not in use. The construction is on progress in 01 (3%) sample schools. The rest 09 (24%) schools are yet to get it sanctioned. • In case of 10 (27%) schools, wherein the pucca kitchen is not available, provisional arrangement of kitchen shed is opted either in old school buildings, in store room, in veranda, in hut or open air as per the convenience. The same applies for 05 (14%) schools, wherein pucca kitchen is available, but not in use. • The food grains/other ingredients are stored either in the store rooms, classrooms or staff rooms in case of 31 (84%) sample schools visited.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For 37 (100%) sample schools, the potable drinking water is available. Similarly, 27 (73%) sample schools have sufficient utensils for cooking, serving and eating etc. against 10 (27%) schools with insufficient utensils. • 28 (76%) sample schools are using firewood for cooking, followed by 08 (22%) schools using coal and 01 (3%) school is found using both firewood and gas as per availability.
--	--

2.11 Safety and Hygiene

District 1 Deoghar	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The general impression regarding the environment, safety and hygiene is good in 23 (62%) schools, average in 10 (27%) and poor in 04 (11%) schools visited in the sample district. • Children are encouraged to wash their hands before and after eating. Similarly, the children do share meals in an orderly manner in all the schools visited. • Water is conserved in 100% sample schools as well. The safety measures are taken care of while cooking and storing fuel by 100% sample schools monitored.
District 2 Dumka	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The general impression regarding the environment, safety and hygiene is good in 25 (68%) schools, average in 06 (16%) and poor in another 06 (16%) schools visited in the sample district. • Children are encouraged to wash their hands before and after eating. Similarly, the children do share meals in an orderly manner in all the schools visited. • Water is conserved in 100% sample schools as well. The safety measures are taken care of while cooking and storing fuel by 100% sample schools monitored.
District 3 Jamtara	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The general impression regarding the environment, safety and hygiene is good in 22 (59%) schools, average in 14 (38%) and poor in 01 (3%) school visited in the sample district. • Children are encouraged to wash their hands before and after eating. Similarly, the children do share meals in an orderly manner in all the schools visited. • Water is conserved in 100% sample schools as well. The safety measures are taken care of while cooking and storing fuel by 100% sample schools monitored.

2.12 Community Participation

District 1 Deoghar	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In 34 (92%) sample schools, monitoring and supervision is done by the community regularly. Community participation is purely casual in 03 (8%) schools. • Their participation level is individual and could be described as of outsiders or most commonly seen as indifferent. So far, none of the sample schools is verified as having received any contribution (cash/kind/labour) by the community.
District 2 Dumka	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In 33 (89%) sample schools, monitoring and supervision is done by the community regularly. Community participation is purely casual in 04 (11%) schools. • Their participation level is individual and could be described as of outsiders or most commonly seen as indifferent. So far, none of the sample schools is verified as having received any contribution (cash/kind/labour) by the community.

District 3 Jamtara	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In 32 (86%) sample schools, monitoring and supervision is done by the community regularly. Community participation is purely casual in 05 (14%) schools. • Their participation level is individual and could be described as of outsiders or most commonly seen as indifferent. So far, none of the sample schools is verified as having received any contribution (cash/kind/labour) by the community.
--------------------	--

2.13 Inspection and Supervision

District 1 Deoghar	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The MDM has been inspected in 21 (57%) sample schools by the block level officers/officials. 13 (35%) schools were verified as inspected by block and district officials as well. 01 (3%) school has been supervised by block, district and the authorities from the state too. • However, the VEC/SMC members are there and do monitor, but purely on casual basis. No roaster system is there in practice. Apart from this, CRPs also monitor 03 schools per day. • The monitoring is either carried out by visiting the site or by making a phone call to collect the updates.
District 2 Dumka	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The MDM has been inspected in 17 (46%) sample schools by the block level officers/officials. 14 (38%) schools were verified as inspected by block and district officials as well. 01 (3%) schools have been supervised by block, district and the authorities from the state too. • However, the VEC/SMC members are there and do monitor, but purely on casual basis. No roaster system is there in practice. Apart from this, CRPs also monitor 03 schools per day. • The monitoring is either carried out by visiting the site or by making a phone call to collect the updates.
District 3 Jamtara	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The MDM has been inspected in 17 (46%) sample schools by the block level officers/officials. 12 (32%) schools were verified as inspected by block and district officials as well. 02 (5%) schools have been supervised by block, district and the authorities from the state too. • However, the VEC/SMC members are there and do monitor, but purely on casual basis. No roaster system is there in practice. Apart from this, CRPs also monitor 03 schools per day. • The monitoring is either carried out by visiting the site or by making a phone call to collect the updates.

2.14 Impact

District 1 Deoghar	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In all the sample schools, Mid Day Meal Scheme has improved the enrollment and attendance, but appears as unable to sustain it. It has been felt that there is a positive impact on general health and hygiene in all the schools monitored.
District 2 Dumka	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In all the sample schools, Mid Day Meal Scheme has improved the enrollment and attendance, but appears as unable to sustain it. It has been felt that there is a positive impact on general health and hygiene in all the schools monitored.
District 3 Jamtara	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In all the sample schools, Mid Day Meal Scheme has improved the enrollment and attendance, but appears as unable to sustain it. It has been felt that there is a positive impact on general health and hygiene in all the schools monitored.

2.15 Grievance and Redressal Mechanism

District 1 Deoghar	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• GRM has been duly initiated and effective in the districts monitored; but hardly ever anybody appears as really aware of the provision and process. Even the Toll Free Number and logo have not been written on the walls or painted around the kitchen/storeroom in the school premises.
District 2 Dumka	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• GRM has been duly initiated and effective in the districts monitored; but hardly ever anybody appears as really aware of the provision and process. Even the Toll Free Number and logo have not been written on the walls or painted around the kitchen/storeroom in the school premises.
District 3 Jamtara	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• GRM has been duly initiated and effective in the districts monitored; but hardly ever anybody appears as really aware of the provision and process. Even the Toll Free Number and logo have not been written on the walls or painted around the kitchen/storeroom in the school premises.

2.16 Any other (Items which are not included in the TOR and MI wants to give observation based on the school visit)

District 1 Deoghar	
District 2 Dumka	
District 3 Jamtara	

KEY FINDINGS & GENERAL OBSERVATIONS:

The section deals with some of the key findings and general observations exclusively derived from the data collection and field verification related to each of the major issues of MDM, i.e. regularity, trend, food grains, cooking cost, social equity, menu, quality & quantity, nutritional supplement, cooks, infrastructure, safety & hygiene, community participation and impact etc.:

- All the children attending schools usually avail MDM
- No discrimination has been observed during the Meal
- The weekly menu is adhered to with some adjustment
- Children appear to be happy/satisfied with the food served
- Children have been provided with some food supplements
- The number and social profile of cooks seems quite adequate
- Impact on education, nutrition and social aspects is being felt

IMPACT ON EDUCATION, NUTRITION AND SOCIAL ASPECTS:

- MDM has pushed up enrolment at the initial phase
- Participation of girls has increased
- Number of dropouts has been curtailed
- Support for families facing malnourishment & food insecurity
- Providing schooling opportunity for child labours
- Providing very basics of health & sanitation to the children
- Adding a familial atmosphere for grooming up the children
- Creating awareness for education in the community

AREAS OF CONCERN:

- MDM is not being served in all the sample schools
- Interruption in serving meal was reported since more than 25 days
- No measures adopted to streamline the shortage or irregularity of supply
- No transportation cost provided for lifting of food grains
- No buffer stock of one month's requirement is maintained
- Current amount per child is not enough to match the menu
- Irregular payment of remuneration of cooks/helpers continues
- Kitchen and storage facility are either poor or not available
- Gas cylinder not provided to schools for cooking
- VEC/SMC chairmen not fulfilling their responsibilities
- Less time for academics as teachers are often busy arranging MDM
- The programme appears to have failed to retain the children
- Clashes of interests between teachers & VEC/SMC hampers the scheme

SUGGESTIONS:

- Teachers should be given responsibilities of MDM in rotation
- VEC/SMC Chairmen require sensitization to serve the society
- MDM requires sufficient space for cooking/eating and drinking facility
- Sensitization to Nutrition and regular supply of supplementary food
- Soap/detergent should be provided for washing hands/utensils
- Schools should be provided with sufficient & proper utensils
- Gas cylinder should be provided to schools for cooking on regular basis
- Cooks require some basic training on hygiene and sanitation
- Community participation should be encouraged and intensified
- Commitment & value addition from all the stakeholders is decisive



2nd Half Yearly Monitoring Report

on MDM

**for the State/UT of
JHARKHAND**

**for the period of
1st Oct. 2014 to 31st March 2015**

District Monitored/Covered

1. Deoghar

3. DISTRICT LEVEL HALF YEARLY MONITORING REPORT – DEOGHAR

MHRD/NSG needs district wise information/observation as per the TOR 2013-2015 using this format for each district separately, for the districts monitored by the Monitoring Institution both for SSA and MDM tasks. Please provide district wise detailed report as per the TOR 2013-15.

3.1	Name of the District	Deoghar			
3.2	Date/Month of visit to the District	February 2015			
3.3	Number of elementary schools (PS/UPS) Centers covered/ monitored	PS – 15 UPS – 22 + 03			
1.	<u>REGULARITY IN SERVING MEAL:</u> Whether the school is serving hot cooked meal daily? If there was interruption, what was the extent and reasons for the same?		Students, Teachers & Parents		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hot and cooked meal is not being served in 26 (70%) sample schools. The extent of interruption ranges from 03 days to 02 months and the reasons causing the situation are no supply of rice and cooking cost as well. 				
2.	<u>TRENDS:</u> Extent of variation (As per school records vis-à-vis actual on the day of visit)		School level registers, MDM Registers Head Teachers, Schools level MDM functionaries/Observation of the monitoring team.		
	No.	Details		The day previous to date of visit	On the day of visit
	i.	Enrollment		10469	10469
	ii.	No. of children attending the school		7954	7747
	iii.	No. of children availing MDM as per MDM Register		7954	7747
	iv.	No. of children actually availing MDM		7954	7739
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> On the day of visit, the attendance against the enrollment is recorded as 74 per cent and almost 99 per cent students actually had MDM. 					
3.	<u>REGULARITY IN DELIVERING FOOD GRAINS TO SCHOOL LEVEL:</u> (i) Is school receiving food grain regularly? If there is delay in delivering food grains, what is the extent of delay and reasons for the same?		School level registers, MDM Registers, Head Teacher, School level MDM functionaries.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 26 (70%) sample schools are not getting food grains regularly due to no supply from the department since last 2-3 months. 				
	(ii) Is buffer stock of one-month's requirement is maintained?		School level registers, MDM Registers, Head Teacher, School level MDM functionaries		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 26 (70%) schools are unable to maintain the buffer stock as per requirement; barring 11 (30%) schools are found as maintaining the monthly buffer stock. 				
	(iii) Is the food grains delivered at the school?		School level registers, MDM Registers, Head Teacher, School level MDM functionaries		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All the sample schools are being provided the food. 					

4.	<u>REGULARITY IN DELIVERING COOKING COST TO SCHOOL LEVEL:</u> (i) Is school receiving cooking cost in advance regularly? If there is delay in delivering cooking costs, what is the extent of delay and reasons for it?	School level registers, MDM Registers, Head Teacher, School level MDM functionaries.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 27 (73%) sample schools are receiving the cooking cost in advance regularly, whereas 10 (27%) schools are not getting the cooking cost in advance. 	
	(ii) In case of delay, how schools manage to ensure that there is no disruption in the feeding programme?	School level registers, MDM Registers, Head Teacher, School level MDM functionaries.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In case of delay, interim arrangement is done by seeking the help of schools nearby or VECs/SMCs arrange of their own. 	
	(iii) Is cooking cost paid by Cash or through banking channel?	School level registers, MDM Registers, Head Teacher, School level MDM functionaries.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The cooking cost is paid through banks in all the sample schools. 	
5.	<u>SOCIAL EQUITY:</u> Did you observe any gender or caste or community discrimination in cooking or serving or seating arrangements?	Observations
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No gender, caste or community discrimination was observed in cooking, serving or seating arrangements in the sample schools. 	
6.	<u>VARIETY OF MENU:</u> (i) Has the school displayed its weekly menu, and is it able to adhere to the menu displayed?	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC/SMC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 31 (84%) sample schools have displayed the weekly menu and try their best to adhere to, whereas the menu was not displayed in 06 (16%) sample schools. 	
	(ii) Is there variety in the food served or is the same food served daily?	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC/SMC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Variety in the food served was found in all the 37 (100%) sample schools. 	
	(iii) Does the daily menu include rice / wheat preparation, dal and vegetables?	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC/SMC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In all the sample schools, rice, dal and vegetables are essentially included in the daily menu. However, wheat (Roti) is not served as a regular part of the daily menu. 	
7.	<u>QUALITY & QUANTITY OF MEAL:</u> Feedback from children on a) Quality of meal:	Observations of Investigation during MDM service
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The meal served is found to be neat/clean and tasty in 20 (54%) and not so clean and tasty in 17 (46%) sample schools. 	
	b) Quantity of meal:	Observations of Investigation during MDM service
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The quantity of the meal served is reported to be sufficient in all 37 (100%) sample schools. 	

	c) If children were not happy Please give reasons and suggestions to improve.	Observations of Investigation during MDM service
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In 37 (100%) sample schools, the children are happy in terms of quality and quantity of the meal. 	
8.	<u>SUPPLEMENTARY:</u>	Teachers, Students, School Record
	(i) Whether children are given micronutrients (Iron, folic acid, vitamin – A dosage) and de-worming medicine periodically?	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In 32 (86%) sample schools, the Iron Folic, Vitamin A and de-worming dosage have distributed, whereas nothing was provided in 05 (14%) sample school. 	
	(ii) Who administers these medicines and at what frequency?	Teachers, Students, School Record
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The service is administered by Govt. health department monthly or quarterly. 	
	(iii) Is there school Health Card maintained for each child?	Teachers, Students, School Record
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Health Card is not provided in any of the 37 (100%) sample schools. 	
9.	<u>STATUS OF COOKS:</u>	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC/SMC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.
	(i) Who cooks and serves the meal? (Cook/helper appointed by the Department or Self Help Group, or NGO or Contractor)	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In all the sample schools, the meals are cooked and served by appointed cooks usually known as Sanyojika (Convener) and Sahayika (Helper). 	
	(ii) Is the number of cooks and helpers adequate to meet the requirement of the school?	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC/SMC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In 29 (78%) sample schools the number of cooks/helpers is insufficient, whereas in 08 (22%) sample schools, the number is sufficient to meet the requirement. 	
	(iii) What is remuneration paid to cooks/helpers?	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC/SMC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The helpers (Sahayika) are paid Rs. 1000/- as remuneration in the sample schools per month whereas, no remuneration is paid to the conveners, the Sanyojika. 	
	(iv) Are the remuneration paid to cooks/helpers regularly?	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC/SMC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In 29 (78%) the payment of remuneration is made regularly, however, it is paid irregularly in other 08 (22%) sample schools. 	
	(v) Social Composition of cooks /helpers? (SC/ST/OBC/Minority)	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC/SMC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Out of 87 Cooks/helpers in the sample schools, 53 (61%) belonged to Other Backward Community, followed by 15 (17%) from Scheduled Tribe. 11 (13%) belonged to Scheduled Caste and 08 (9%) cooks/helpers are from Minority group. 	

10.	INFRASTRUCTURE: Is a pucca kitchen shed-cum-store: a) Constructed and in use b) Constructed but not in use c) Under construction d) Sanctioned, but constructed not started e) Not sanctioned f) Any other (specify)	School records, discussion with head teacher, teacher, VEC/SMC, Gram Panchayat members.
Information is to be given for point (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 25 (68%) schools have constructed kitchen shed cum store in use. • In 06 (16%) schools it is constructed but not in use. • In 01 (3%) of the schools sampled, the construction is on progress. • It is sanctioned but construction is yet to be started in other 02(5%) schools. • In case of 03 (8%) school it is not yet sanctioned. 		
11.	In case the pucca kitchen shed is not available, where is the food being cooked and where are the food grains/other ingredients being stored?	Discussion with head teacher, teacher, VEC/SMC, Gram Panchayat members, Observation
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In 11 (30%) schools where the pucca kitchen is not available, provisional arrangement of kitchen shed is done either in classrooms, in veranda, in hut or in open air as per the convenience. The same applies for 04 (11%) school wherein pucca kitchen is available but not in use. • The food grains/other ingredients are stored in the store rooms in 26 (70%), whereas the corners of the classrooms are used for the purpose in 11 (30%) sample schools. 		
12.	Whether potable water is available for cooking and drinking purpose?	-do-
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In 36 (97%) sample schools potable water is available and in 01 (3%) schools visited potable water is not available for cooking and drinking. 		
13.	Whether utensils used for cooking food are adequate?	Teachers/Organizer of MDM Programme
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Similarly, 28 (76%) sample schools have sufficient utensils and in other 09 (24%) schools the utensils are insufficient for cooking/eating etc. 		
14.	What is the kind of fuel used? (Gas based/firewood etc.)	Observation
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 29 (78%) sample schools are using Coal followed by 08 (22%) schools, wherein, the firewood is being used for cooking. Though 04 (11%) schools are found using both coal and firewood depending upon the availability. 		
15.	SAFETY & HYGIENE: i. General Impression of the environment, Safety and hygiene:	Observation
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The general impression of the environment, safety and hygiene in 20 (54%) schools is found to be good, whereas average in 17 (46%) schools. 		
ii. Are children encouraged to wash hands before and after eating?		Observation
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In all the 37 sample schools, the children are encouraged to wash their hands before and after eating. 		
iii. Do the children take meals in an orderly manner?		Observation
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In all the 37 sample schools the children do take meals in an orderly manner. 		
iv. Conservation of water?		Observation

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In 37 (100%) sample schools water is conserved. 	
	v. Is the cooking process and storage of fuel safe, not posing any fire hazard?	Observation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Likewise, in 37 (100%) sample schools, safety measures are being taken care of while cooking and storing fuel. 	
16.	<u>COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION:</u> Extent of participation by Parents/VEC/SMC/Panchayats/Urban bodies in daily supervision, monitoring and participation	Discussion with head teacher, teacher, VEC/SMC, Gram Panchayat members
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In case of 10 (27%) sample schools, monitoring and supervision is done quite regularly, whereas, in 27 (73%) schools, community participation in terms of monitoring and supervision is casual. So far, none of the sample schools is reported to have received any contribution (cash/kind/labour) by the community. 	
17.	<u>INSPECTION & SUPERVISION:</u> Has the mid day meal programme been inspected by any state/district/block level officers/officials?	School records, discussion with head teacher, teachers, VEC/SMC, Gram Panchayat members
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As reported, the monitoring and supervision is done in 34 (92%) sample schools by Block level officers/officials. In case of 22 (59%) schools it is monitored by block and district level officers. In 03 (8%) schools, the supervision was done by the officials from block, district and by the authorities from the state as well. Apart from this, CRPs also monitor 03 schools per day. The monitoring is either carried out by visiting the site or by making a phone call to collect the updates. 	
18.	<u>IMPACT:</u> Has the mid day meal improved the enrollment, attendance of children in school, general well being (nutritional status) of children? Is there any other incidental benefit due to serving cooked meal in schools?	School records, discussion with head teacher, teachers, students, VEC/SMC, Gram Panchayat members.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In all the 37 sample schools, Mid Day Meal Scheme has improved the enrollment and attendance. It has been felt that there is a positive impact on general health/hygiene as well. 	
19.	<u>GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL MECHANISM:</u> i. Is any Grievance Redressal Mechanism in the district for MDMs?	School records, discussion with head teacher, teachers, students, VEC/SMC, Gram Panchayat members.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, there is a Grievance Redressal Mechanism duly initiated /effective in the district, but nobody is aware of. 	
	ii. Whether the district, block, school having any Toll Free Number?	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It was neither written anywhere on the wall in the school premises, nor they are aware of. 	

LIST OF SCHOOLS AS PER KEY FINDINGS – DEOGHAR

Table No. 01 List of Schools Not providing Hot/Cooked Meal

SN	Name of the Schools
01	UPS Kalyanraitharhi

Table No. 03 List of Schools with No Transportation Cost for Lifting of Food Grains

SN	Name of the Schools	SN	Name of the Schools
01	PS Ghaghi (U)	20	Abhyas Pathshala Ghormara
02	PS Simaria	21	PS Hindola Baran
03	UMS Pachhiari Kothia	22	UMS Chaupa
04	UMS Ratanpur	23	MS Chakarma (G)
05	MS Koriyasa	24	MS Mohanpur Hat
06	MS Kalyanpur	25	UPS Khariktola
07	MS Vivekanand	26	UPS Ramsagar
08	MS Gobardhan Kumar	27	UMS Gidhaiya
09	MS Barmasia	28	UMS Shankarpur
10	MS Kothia	29	MS Bhojpur
11	MS Jasidih (B)	30	UHS Ramudih
12	MS Kamla Jasidih (G)	31	UPS Kendkhapra
13	PS Dulidih	32	UPS Kalyanraitharhi
14	UPS Bichgarha	33	PS Pipra I
15	PS Sarwan	34	UPS Jitnakinari
16	PS Sarwan (U)	35	UMS Mahapur
17	UMS Ghorparas	36	MS Chandna
18	UMS Ramraidih	37	MS Sonaraitharhi
19	UPS Tasaria		

Table No. 04 List of Schools Not Received Cooking Cost Regularly

SN	Name of the Schools
01.	UPS Kalyanraitharhi

Table No. 05 List of Schools with Menu Not Displayed

SN	Name of the Schools
01.	UPS Tasaria
02.	UPS Ramsagar
03.	PS Hindola Baran

Table No. 06 List of Schools Not Providing Supplementary Food

SN	Name of the Schools	Supplementary Food		Health Register	
		Yes	No	Maintained	Updated
01.	PS Dulidih	-	No	Yes	No
02.	PS Pipra I	-	No	Yes	No

Table No. 07 List of Schools with No Remuneration to Cooks/Helpers Regularly

SN	Name of the Schools	SN	Name of the Schools
01	PS Ghaghi (U)	20	Abhyas Pathshala Ghormara
02	PS Simaria	21	PS Hindola Baran
03	UMS Pachhiari Kothia	22	UMS Chaupa
04	UMS Ratanpur	23	MS Chakarma (G)

05	MS Koriyasa	24	MS Mohanpur Hat
06	MS Kalyanpur	25	UPS Khariktola
07	MS Vivekanand	26	UPS Ramsagar
08	MS Gobardhan Kumar	27	UMS Gidhaiya
09	MS Barmasia	28	UMS Shankarpur
10	MS Kothia	29	MS Bhojpur
11	MS Jasidih (B)	30	UHS Ramudih
12	MS Kamla Jasidih (G)	31	UPS Kendkhapra
13	PS Dulidih	32	UPS Kalyanraitharhi
14	UPS Bichgarha	33	PS Pipra I
15	PS Sarwan	34	UPS Jitnakinari
16	PS Sarwan (U)	35	UMS Mahapur
17	UMS Ghorparas	36	MS Chandna
18	UMS Ramraidih	37	MS Sonaraitarhi
19	UPS Talaria		

Table No. 08 List of Schools with No Pucca Kitchen cum Storeroom

SN	Name of the Schools	SN	Name of the Schools
01.	UPS Kendkhapra	04.	UMS Gidhaiya
02.	PS Dulidih	05.	UMS Chaupa
03.	Abhyas Pathshala Ghormara	06.	UMS Shankarpur

Table No. 09 List of Schools with Insufficient Utensils

SN	Name of the Schools	SN	Name of the Schools
01.	PS Dulidih	05.	MS Koriyasa
02.	PS Simaria	06.	MS Mohanpur
03.	MS Vivekanand Deoghar	07.	MS Kamla Jasidih (G)
04.	MS Gobardhan Kumar	08.	MS Bhojpur

Table No. 10 List of Schools Using LPG and Other Fuels for Cooking

SN	Name of the Schools	LPG	Coal	Wood	SN	Name of the Schools	LPG	Coal	Wood
01	PS Ghaghi (U)	-	-	Yes	20	Abhyas Pathshala Ghormara	-	-	Yes
02	PS Simaria	-	-	Yes	21	PS Hindola Baran	-	-	Yes
03	UMS Pachhiari Kothia	-	-	Yes	22	UMS Chaupa	-	-	Yes
04	UMS Ratanpur	-	-	Yes	23	MS Chakarma (G)	-	-	Yes
05	MS Koriyasa	-	-	Yes	24	MS Mohanpur Hat	-	-	Yes
06	MS Kalyanpur	-	-	Yes	25	UPS Khariktola	-	-	Yes
07	MS Vivekanand	-	-	Yes	26	UPS Ramsagar	-	-	Yes
08	MS Gobardhan Kumar	-	-	Yes	27	UMS Gidhaiya	-	-	Yes
09	MS Barmasia	-	-	Yes	28	UMS Shankarpur	-	-	Yes
10	MS Kothia	-	-	Yes	29	MS Bhojpur	-	-	Yes
11	MS Jasidih (B)	-	-	Yes	30	UHS Ramudih	-	-	Yes
12	MS Kamla Jasidih (G)	Yes	-	Yes	31	UPS Kendkhapra	-	Yes	Yes
13	PS Dulidih	-	-	Yes	32	UPS Kalyanraitharhi	-	-	Yes
14	UPS Bichgarha	-	-	Yes	33	PS Pipra I	-	-	Yes
15	PS Sarwan	-	-	Yes	34	UPS Jitnakinari	-	-	Yes
16	PS Sarwan (U)	-	-	Yes	35	UMS Mahapur	-	-	Yes
17	UMS Ghorparas	-	-	Yes	36	MS Chandna	-	-	Yes
18	UMS Ramraidih	-	-	Yes	37	MS Sonaraitarhi	-	-	Yes
19	UPS Talaria	-	-	Yes					

Table No. 11 List of Schools Not Providing Soap/Detergent Provided for Washing

SN	Name of the Schools	SN	Name of the Schools
01	PS Ghaghi (U)	20	Abhyas Pathshala Ghormara
02	PS Simaria	21	PS Hindola Baran
03	UMS Pachhiari Kothia	22	UMS Chaupa
04	UMS Ratanpur	23	MS Chakarma (G)
05	MS Koriyasa	24	MS Mohanpur Hat
06	MS Kalyanpur	25	UPS Khariktola
07	MS Vivekanand	26	UPS Ramsagar
08	MS Gobardhan Kumar	27	UMS Gidhaiya
09	MS Barmasia	28	UMS Shankarpur
10	MS Kothia	29	MS Bhojpur
11	MS Jasidih (B)	30	UHS Ramudih
12	MS Kamla Jasidih (G)	31	UPS Kendkhapra
13	PS Dulidih	32	UPS Kalyanraitharhi
14	UPS Bichgarha	33	PS Pipra I
15	PS Sarwan	34	UPS Jitnakinari
16	PS Sarwan (U)	35	UMS Mahapur
17	UMS Ghorparas	36	MS Chandna
18	UMS Ramraidih	37	MS Sonaraitarhi
19	UPS Tasaria		

Table No. 12 List of Schools with No Logo and Toll Free Number on the Wall

SN	Name of the Schools	SN	Name of the Schools
01.	PS Ghaghi (U)	20.	Abhyas Pathshala Ghormara
02.	PS Simaria	21.	PS Hindola Baran
03.	UMS Pachhiari Kothia	22.	UMS Chaupa
04.	UMS Ratanpur	23.	MS Chakarma (G)
05.	MS Koriyasa	24.	MS Mohanpur Hat
06.	MS Kalyanpur	25.	UPS Khariktola
07.	MS Vivekanand	26.	UPS Ramsagar
08.	MS Gobardhan Kumar	27.	UMS Gidhaiya
09.	MS Barmasia	28.	UMS Shankarpur
10.	MS Kothia	29.	MS Bhojpur
11.	MS Jasidih (B)	30.	UHS Ramudih
12.	MS Kamla Jasidih (G)	31.	UPS Kendkhapra
13.	PS Dulidih	32.	UPS Kalyanraitharhi
14.	UPS Bichgarha	33.	PS Pipra I
15.	PS Sarwan	34.	UPS Jitnakinari
16.	PS Sarwan (U)	35.	UMS Mahapur
17.	UMS Ghorparas	36.	MS Chandna
18.	UMS Ramraidih	37.	MS Sonaraitarhi
19.	UPS Tasaria		

ANNEXURE - I**List of Schools with DISE Code visited by MI: District Deoghar**

SN	Name of School	DISE Code	SN	Name of School	DISE Code
01.	PS Ghaghi (U)	319501	21.	UPS Tasaria	0129501
02.	PS Simaria	0323501	22.	A. Pathshala Ghormara	0100903
03.	UMS Pachhiari Kothia	0302601	23.	PS Hindola Baran	0102701
04.	UMS Ratanpur	0321101	24.	UMS Chaupa	0102301
05.	MS Koriyasa	0300401	25.	MS Chakarma (G)	0102201
06.	MS Kalyanpur	0300501	26.	MS Mohanpur Hat	0101901
07.	MS Vivekanand	0302101	27.	KGBV Mohanpur	0102202
08.	MS Gobardhan Kumar	0301601	28.	UPS Khariktola	0920801
09.	MS Barmasia	0300301	29.	UPS Ramsagar	0918401
10.	MS Kothia	0305301	30.	UMS Gidhaiya	0901707
11.	MS Jasidih (B)	0322601	31.	UMS Shankarpur	0902901
12.	MS Kamla Jasidih (G)	0322602	32.	MS Bhojpur	0900501
13.	KGBV Deoghar	0300202	33.	UHS Ramudih	0900301
14.	PS Dulidih	0211901	34.	UPS Kendkhapra	1008801
15.	UPS Bichgarha	0220901	35.	UPS Kalyanraitharhi	1005401
16.	PS Sarwan	0200104	36.	PS Pipra I	0205401
17.	PS Sarwan (U)	0200103	37.	UPS Jitnakinari	1002201
18.	UMS Ghorparas	0210201	38.	UMS Mahapur	100301
19.	UMS Ramraidih	0209601	39.	MS Chandna	1004901
20.	KGBV Sarwan	0223101	40.	MS Sonaraitarhi	1004301

Name, Designations & Address of Persons Contacted: District Deoghar

SN	Name of Contact Person	Post	Address	CD Block	Contact No.
01	Basant Lal Soren	HM	PS Ghaghi (U)	Deoghar	94318-25476
02	Dinbandhu Pandey	HM	PS Simaria	Deoghar	76310-37190
03	Diwakar Rai	HM	UMS Pachhiari Kothia	Deoghar	94701-00159
04	Satyendra Narayan Mishra	HM	UMS Ratanpur	Deoghar	98357-60493
05	Nageshwar Das	HM	MS Koriyasa	Deoghar	89874-86875
06	Ms Meena Singh	HM	MS Kalyanpur	Deoghar	94713-69542
07	Ms. Usha Rani Yadav	HM	MS Vivekanand	Deoghar	92630-74686
08	Ms. Krishna Jha	HM	MS Gobardhan Kumar	Deoghar	80023-24907
09	Ms. Asha Kumari	HM	MS Barmasia	Deoghar	99394-77641
10	Mahesh Pandit	HM	MS Kothia	Deoghar	91628-04807
11	Chandrashakhar Pandey	HM	MS Jasidih (B)	Deoghar	94705-80711
12	Ms. Rajni Kumari Sinha	HM	MS Kamla Jasidih (G)	Deoghar	97986-59526
13	Ms. Rashmi Sinha	Wdn	KGBV Deoghar	Deoghar	94711-18789
14	Basant Kumar Roy	HM	PS Dulidih	Mohanpur	82923-10196
15	Maheshwar Mahto	HM	UPS Bichgarha	Mohanpur	88047-83951
16	Nawal Prasad Singh	HM	PS Sarwan	Mohanpur	94301-59568
17	Md. Ziyauddin	HM	PS Sarwan (U)	Mohanpur	88772-09552
18	Ranjit Kumar Jha	HM	UMS Ghorparas	Mohanpur	92344-32178
19	Brajraj Jejawade	HM	UMS Ramraidih	Mohanpur	99393-63697
20	Ms. Baby Kumari	Wdn	KGBV Sarwan	Mohanpur	99553-84195
21	Dharmendra Kumar Pandey	HM	UPS Talaria	Mohanpur	90061-62441
22	Mahadeo Mandal	HM	A. Pathshala Ghormara	Mohanpur	87579-94615
23	Ms. Dayamanti Mishra	HM	PS Hindola Baran	Mohanpur	82929-62473
24	Ms. Renu Kumari Singh	HM	UMS Chaupa	Mohanpur	94717-70474
25	Ms. Usha Mishra	HM	MS Chakarma (G)	Mohanpur	99051-81654
26	Rajdeo Mathpati	HM	MS Mohanpur Hat	Sarwan	95467-85803
27	Ms Nirmala Soren	Wdn	KGBV Mohanpur	Sarwan	78706-26352
28	Pradeep Kumar Yadav	HM	UPS Khariktola	Sarwan	94317-69467
29	Pintu Kumar Raut	HM	UPS Ramsagar	Sarwan	98357-77127
30	Madhav Kumar	HM	UMS Gidhaiya	Sarwan	90311-34973
31	Nand Kishore Rai	HM	UMS Shankarpur	Sarwan	94319-50601
32	Nageshwar Singh	HM	MS Bhojpur	Sarwan	94319-44781
33	Pankaj Kumar Dubey	HM	UHS Ramudih	Sarwan	86031-99405
34	Surya Narayan Yadav	HM	UPS Kendkhapra	Sarwan	96316-89544
35	Ms. Renu Devi	HM	UPS Kalyanraitharhi	Sarwan	99312-23233
36	Naresh Kumar Jha	HM	PS Pipra I	Sarwan	77398-33763
37	Mukesh Kumar Gupta	HM	UPS Jitnakinari	Sarwan	99399-58335
38	Barnabas Soren	HM	UMS Mahapur	Sarwan	98015-25677
39	Shyam Sunder Yadav	HM	MS Chandna	Sarwan	94717-71209
40	Imawali Ansari	Wdn	MS Sonaraitharhi	Sarwan	91627-21542

3 (c) Any other relevant documents

Please enclose the documents duly giving the title as Annexure II i.e. Whenever only circulars/Amendments/Notices planning to provide in the report

--



2nd Half Yearly Monitoring Report

on MDM

**for the State/UT of
JHARKHAND**

**for the period of
1st Oct. 2014 to 31st March 2015**

District Monitored/Covered

2. Dumka

3. DISTRICT LEVEL HALF YEARLY MONITORING REPORT – DUMKA

MHRD/NSG needs district wise information/observation as per the TOR 2013-2015 using this format for each district separately, for the districts monitored by the Monitoring Institution both for SSA and MDM tasks. Please provide district wise detailed report as per the TOR 2013-15.

3.1	Name of the District			Dumka	
3.2	Date/Month of visit to the District			February 2015	
3.3	Number of elementary schools (PS/UPS) Centers covered/ monitored			PS - 14 UPS – 23 + 03	
1.	<u>REGULARITY IN SERVING MEAL:</u> Whether the school is serving hot cooked meal daily? If there was interruption, what was the extent and reasons for the same?			Students, Teachers & Parents	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hot and cooked meal is served in 32 (86%) schools, whereas there is interruption in 05 (14%) schools visited. The extent of interruption ranges from 03-20 days and the reasons behind are delay in providing food grains and cooking cost. 				
2.	<u>TRENDS:</u> Extent of variation (As per school records vis-à-vis actual on the day of visit)			School level registers, MDM Registers Head Teachers, Schools level MDM functionaries / Observation of the monitoring team.	
	No.	Details	The day previous to date of visit		On the day of visit
	i.	Enrollment	7624		7624
	ii.	No. of children attending the school	4756		4621
	iii.	No. of children availing MDM as per MDM Register	4756		4621
	iv.	No. of children actually availing MDM	4756		4602
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> On the day of visit, the attendance against the enrollment is recorded as 61 per cent and almost 99 per cent students actually had MDM. 					
3.	<u>REGULARITY IN DELIVERING FOOD GRAINS TO SCHOOL LEVEL:</u> (i) Is school receiving food grain regularly? If there is delay in delivering food grains, what is the extent of delay and reasons for the same?			School level registers, MDM Registers, Head Teacher, School level MDM functionaries.	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 33 (89%) sample schools are getting food grains regularly against 04 (11%) schools facing some delay. 				
	(ii) Is buffer stock of one-month's requirement is maintained?			School level registers, MDM Registers, Head Teacher, School level MDM functionaries	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 33 (89%) schools do maintain the buffer stock as per requirement, barring 04 (11%) schools wherein the monthly buffer stock is not being maintained. 				
	(iii) Is the food grains delivered at the school?			School level registers, MDM Registers, Head Teacher, School level MDM functionaries	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All 37 (100%) sample schools are being provided the food grains directly. 	
4.	<u>REGULARITY IN DELIVERING COOKING COST TO SCHOOL LEVEL:</u> (i) Is school receiving cooking cost in advance regularly? If there is delay in delivering cooking costs, what is the extent of delay and reasons for it?	School level registers, MDM Registers, Head Teacher, School level MDM functionaries.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 32 (86%) sample schools are receiving the cooking cost in advance regularly, whereas 05 (14%) schools are not getting the cooking cost in advance. 	
	(ii) In case of delay, how schools manage to ensure that there is no disruption in the feeding programme?	School level registers, MDM Registers, Head Teacher, School level MDM functionaries.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In case of delay, interim arrangement is done by seeking the help of schools nearby or VECs/SMCs arrange of their own. 	
	(iii) Is cooking cost paid by Cash or through banking channel?	School level registers, MDM Registers, Head Teacher, School level MDM functionaries.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The cooking cost is paid through banks in all the sample schools. 	
5.	<u>SOCIAL EQUITY:</u> Did you observe any gender or caste or community discrimination in cooking or serving or seating arrangements?	Observations
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No gender, caste or community discrimination was observed in cooking, serving or seating arrangements in the sample schools. 	
6.	<u>VARIETY OF MENU:</u> (i) Has the school displayed its weekly menu, and is it able to adhere to the menu displayed?	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC/SMC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 30 (81%) sample schools have displayed the weekly menu and try their best to adhere to, whereas the menu was not displayed in 07 (19%) sample schools. 	
	(ii) Is there variety in the food served or is the same food served daily?	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC/SMC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Variety in the food served was reported in all the 37 (100%) schools. 	
	(iii) Does the daily menu include rice / wheat preparation, dal and vegetables?	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC/SMC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In all the sample schools, rice, dal and vegetables are essentially included in the daily menu. However, wheat (Roti) is not served as a regular part of the daily menu. 	
7.	<u>QUALITY & QUANTITY OF MEAL:</u> Feedback from children on a) Quality of meal:	Observations of Investigation during MDM service
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The meal served is found to be neat/clean and tasty in 21 (57%) and not so clean and tasty in 16 (43%) sample schools. 	
	b) Quantity of meal:	Observations of Investigation during MDM service
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The quantity of the meal served is reported to be sufficient in all 37 (100%) sample schools. 	

	c) If children were not happy Please give reasons and suggestions to improve.	Observations of Investigation during MDM service
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In 37 (100%) sample schools, the children are happy in terms of quality and quantity of the meal. 	
8.	<u>SUPPLEMENTARY:</u>	Teachers, Students, School Record
	(i) Whether children are given micronutrients (Iron, folic acid, vitamin – A dosage) and de-worming medicine periodically?	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In 29 (78%) sample schools, the Iron Folic, Vitamin A and de-worming dosage have distributed, whereas nothing was provided in 08 (22%) sample schools. 	
	(ii) Who administers these medicines and at what frequency?	Teachers, Students, School Record
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The service is administered by Govt. health department monthly or quarterly. 	
	(iii) Is there school Health Card maintained for each child?	Teachers, Students, School Record
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Health Card is yet to be provided in all the 37 (100%) schools monitored. 	
9.	<u>STATUS OF COOKS:</u>	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC/SMC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.
	(i) Who cooks and serves the meal? (Cook/helper appointed by the Department or Self Help Group, or NGO or Contractor)	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In all the sample schools, the meals are cooked and served by appointed cooks usually known as Sanyojika (Convener) and Sahayika (Helper). 	
	(ii) Is the number of cooks and helpers adequate to meet the requirement of the school?	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC/SMC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In 34 (92%) sample schools the number of cooks/helpers is insufficient, whereas in 03 (8%) sample schools, the number is sufficient to meet the requirement. 	
	(iii) What is remuneration paid to cooks/helpers?	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC/SMC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The helpers (Sahayika) are paid Rs. 1000/- as remuneration in the sample schools per month whereas, no remuneration is paid to the conveners, the Sanyojika. 	
	(iv) Are the remuneration paid to cooks/helpers regularly?	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC/SMC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In 22 (59%) schools, the remuneration is made regularly, while, payment to cooks/helpers is irregular in 15 (41%) sample schools. 	
	(v) Social Composition of cooks /helpers? (SC/ST/OBC/Minority)	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC/SMC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Of the 105 Cooks/helpers identified in the sample schools, 57 (54%) belonged to Other Backward Community, 25 (24%) Schedule Tribes, 16 (15%) belonged to Minority group and 07 (7%) come from Scheduled Caste. 	

10. INFRASTRUCTURE:	Is a pucca kitchen shed-cum-store: a) Constructed and in use b) Constructed but not in use c) Under construction d) Sanctioned, but constructed not started e) Not sanctioned f) Any other (specify)	School records, discussion with head teacher, teacher, VEC/SMC, Gram Panchayat members.
	Information is to be given for point (a), (b), (c), (d) and (e) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 24(65%) schools have constructed kitchen shed cum store in use. • In 06 (16%) schools it is constructed but not in use. • In 02 (5%) of the schools sampled, the construction is on progress. • It is sanctioned but construction is yet to be started in 01 (3%) school. • In case of 04 (11%) schools, it is not yet sanctioned. 	
11.	In case the pucca kitchen shed is not available, where is the food being cooked and where are the food grains/other ingredients being stored?	Discussion with head teacher, teacher, VEC/SMC, Gram Panchayat members, Observation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In those 06 (16%) schools, where the pucca kitchen is not available, provisional arrangement of kitchen shed is done either in classrooms, old school buildings, in staff rooms, in veranda, in hut or open place as per the convenience. • The food grains/other ingredients are stored in the store rooms in 31 (84%) schools, whereas the staff room is used for the purpose in the rest 06 (16%) school sampled. 	
12.	Whether potable water is available for cooking and drinking purpose?	-do-
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In 29 (78%) sample schools potable water is available and in 08 (22%) schools visited potable water is not available for cooking and drinking. 	
13.	Whether utensils used for cooking food are adequate?	Teachers/Organizer of MDM Programme
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Similarly, 27 (73%) sample schools have sufficient utensils and in other 10 (27%) schools the utensils are insufficient for cooking/eating etc. 	
14.	What is the kind of fuel used? (Gas based/firewood etc.)	Observation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 27 (73%) sample schools are using firewood, whereas coal is being used for cooking in only 10 (27%) sample school. 	
15. SAFETY & HYGIENE:	i. General Impression of the environment, Safety and hygiene:	Observation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The general impression of the environment, safety and hygiene in 18 (49%) schools is found to be good and average in 19 (51%) schools. 	
	ii. Are children encouraged to wash hands before and after eating?	Observation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In all the 37 sample schools, the children are encouraged to wash their hands before and after eating. 	
	iii. Do the children take meals in an orderly manner?	Observation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In all the 37 sample schools the children do take meals in an orderly manner. 	
	iv. Conservation of water?	Observation
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In 37 (100%) sample schools water is conserved. 		

	v. Is the cooking process and storage of fuel safe, not posing any fire hazard?	Observation
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Likewise, in 37 (100%) sample schools, safety measures are being taken care of while cooking and storing fuel. 	
16.	<u>COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION:</u> Extent of participation by Parents/VEC/SMC/Panchayats/Urban bodies in daily supervision, monitoring and participation	Discussion with head teacher, teacher, VEC/SMC, Gram Panchayat members
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In case of 17 (46%) schools, community participation in terms of monitoring and supervision is a regular affair, in 16 (43%) it is casual; whereas in the rest 04 (11%) schools, no community participation has ever taken place. So far, none of the sample schools is reported to have received any contribution (cash/kind/labour) by the community. 	
17.	<u>INSPECTION & SUPERVISION:</u> Has the mid day meal programme been inspected by any state/district/block level officers/officials?	School records, discussion with head teacher, teachers, VEC/SMC, Gram Panchayat members
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As reported, the monitoring and supervision is done in 34 (92%) sample schools by block level officers/officials. 13 (35%) schools have been monitored by both the block and district level officers. In 04 (11%) of the sample schools, the supervision was done by the officials from block, district and by the authorities from the state as well. Apart from this, CRPs also monitor 03 schools per day. The monitoring is either carried out by visiting the site or by making a phone call to collect the updates. 	
18.	<u>IMPACT:</u> Has the mid day meal improved the enrollment, attendance of children in school, general well being (nutritional status) of children? Is there any other incidental benefit due to serving cooked meal in schools?	School records, discussion with head teacher, teachers, students, VEC/SMC, Gram Panchayat members.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In all the 37 sample schools, Mid Day Meal Scheme has improved the enrollment and attendance. It has been felt that there is a positive impact on general health/hygiene as well. 	
19.	<u>GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL MECHANISM:</u> i. Is any Grievance Redressal Mechanism in the district for MDMs?	School records, discussion with head teacher, teachers, students, VEC/SMC, Gram Panchayat members.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, there is a Grievance Redressal Mechanism duly initiated /effective in the district, but nobody is aware of. 	
	ii. Whether the district, block, school having any Toll Free Number?	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It was neither written anywhere on the wall in the school premises, nor they are aware of. 	

LIST OF SCHOOLS AS PER KEY FINDINGS – DUMKA

Table No. 01 List of Schools Not Providing Hot/Cooked Meal

SN	Name of the Schools	SN	Name of the Schools
01	AMS Gandhinagar	11	UMS Jamua
02	UMS Rakhabani	12	UMS Baghmari
03	MS SRK Ashram	13	UMS Dumaria II
04	PS Kanhaiyapur	14	UMS Beltikri (U)
05	UPS Kurmakita	15	MS Sahara
06	PS Ghotopi	16	MS Basukinath
07	UPS Sogra Dangal	17	MS Jarmundi (B)
08	UPS Tilberia	18	MS Dudhani
09	PS Kusmaha Chikania	19	UMS Asanthar
10	PS Birajpur	20	MS Baghjopa

Table No. 02 List of Schools with Not Received Food Grains Regularly

SN	Name of the Schools	SN	Name of the Schools
01	AMS Gandhinagar	11	UMS Jamua
02	UMS Rakhabani	12	UMS Baghmari
03	MS SRK Ashram	13	UMS Dumaria II
04	PS Kanhaiyapur	14	UMS Beltikri (U)
05	UPS Kurmakita	15	MS Sahara
06	PS Ghotopi	16	MS Basukinath
07	UPS Sogra Dangal	17	MS Jarmundi (B)
08	UPS Tilberia	18	MS Dudhani
09	PS Kusmaha Chikania	19	UMS Asanthar
10	PS Birajpur	20	MS Baghjopa

Table No. 03 List of Schools with No Transportation Cost for Lifting of Food Grains

SN	Name of the Schools	SN	Name of the Schools
01	AMS Gandhinagar	20	MS Jarmundi (B)
02	UMS Rakhabani	21	MS Dudhani
03	MS Dudhani (H)	22	MS Jarmundi (G)
04	MS SRK Ashram	23	UPS Karla
05	PS Kanhaiyapur	24	UPS Dhanaspur
06	UPS Kurmakita	25	PS Sirsanath
07	PS Ghotopi	26	PS Ghoribad
08	UPS Sogra Dangal	27	PS Meghi Santhali
09	UPS Tilberia	28	UMS Asanthar
10	PS Madapur	29	UMS Asanjore
11	PS Kusmaha Chikania	30	UMS Baisa
12	PS Chordiha	31	UMS Bandha
13	PS Birajpur	32	UMS Silanda
14	UMS Jamua	33	UMS Jaratkar
15	UMS Baghmari	34	MS Lilatari
16	UMS Dumaria II	35	MS Baghjopa
17	UMS Beltikri (U)	36	AMS Jama
18	MS Sahara	37	MS Jama (G)
19	MS Basukinath		

Table No. 04 List of Schools with Menu Not Displayed

SN	Name of the Schools	SN	Name of the Schools
01.	PS Kanhaiyapur	05.	UMS Asanthar
02.	PS Madanpur	06.	AMS Jama
03.	UMS Baghmari	07.	MS Jama (G)
04.	UMS Dumaria II	08.	MS Sahara

Table No. 6 List of Schools Not Providing Supplementary Food

SN	Name of the Schools	Supplementary Food		Health Register	
		Yes	No	Maintained	Updated
01	PS Sirsanath	-	No	Yes	No
02	UMS Jamua	-	No	Yes	No

Table No. 07 List of Schools with No Remuneration to Cooks/Helpers Regularly

SN	Name of the Schools	SN	Name of the Schools
01.	AMS Gandhinagar	20	MS Jarmundi (B)
02.	UMS Rakhabani	21	MS Dudhani
03.	MS Dudhani (H)	22	MS Jarmundi (G)
04.	MS SRK Ashram	23	UPS Karla
05.	PS Kanhaiyapur	24	UPS Dhanaspur
06.	UPS Kurmakita	25	PS Sirsanath
07.	PS Ghotopi	26	PS Ghoribad
08.	UPS Sogra Dangal	27	PS Meghi Santhali
09.	UPS Tilberia	28	UMS Asanthar
10.	PS Madapur	29	UMS Asanjore
11.	PS Kusmaha Chikania	30	UMS Baisa
12.	PS Chordiha	31	UMS Bandha
13.	PS Birajpur	32	UMS Silanda
14.	UMS Jamua	33	UMS Jaratkar
15.	UMS Baghmari	34	MS Lilatari
16.	UMS Dumaria II	35	MS Baghjopa
17.	UMS Beltikri (U)	36	AMS Jama
18.	MS Sahara	37	MS Jama (G)
19.	MS Basukinath		

Table No. 08 List of Schools with No Pucca Kitchen cum Storeroom

SN	Name of the Schools	SN	Name of the Schools
01.	PS Sirsanath	04.	UMS Jamua
02.	UPS Tilberia	05.	UMS Silanda
03.	UPS Karla	06.	UMS Beltikri

Table No. 09 List of Schools with Insufficient Utensils

SN	Name of the Schools	SN	Name of the Schools
01.	PS Madanpur	06	UMS Asanjore
02.	UPS Tilwaria	07	MS Jama (G)
03.	UMS Baisa	08	UMS Dumaria II
04.	MS Ilatari	09	MS Jarmundi (G)
05.	MS Jarmundi (B)		

Table No. 10 List of Schools Using LPG and Other Fuels for Cooking

SN	Name of the Schools	LPG	Coal	Wood	SN	Name of the Schools	LPG	Coal	Wood
01	AMS Gandhinagar	-	-	Yes	20	MS Jarmundi (B)	-	-	
02	UMS Rakhabani	-	-	Yes	21	MS Dudhani	Yes	-	Yes
03	MS Dudhani (H)	-	-	Yes	22	MS Jarmundi (G)	-	-	Yes
04	MS SRK Ashram	-	Yes	Yes	23	UPS Karla	-	-	Yes
05	PS Kanhaiyapur	-	-	Yes	24	UPS Dhanaspur	-	-	Yes
06	UPS Kurmakita	-	-	Yes	25	PS Sirsanath	-	-	Yes
07	PS Ghotopi	-	-	Yes	26	PS Ghoribad	-	-	Yes
08	UPS Sogra Dangal	-	-	Yes	27	PS Meghi Santhali	-	Yes	Yes
09	UPS Tilberia	-	-	Yes	28	UMS Asanthar	-	Yes	Yes
10	PS Madapur	-	-	Yes	29	UMS Asanjore	-	-	Yes
11	PS Kusmaha Chikania	-	-	Yes	30	UMS Baisa	-	-	Yes
12	PS Chordiha	-	-	Yes	31	UMS Bandha	-	-	Yes
13	PS Birajpur	-	-	Yes	32	UMS Silanda	-	-	Yes
14	UMS Jamua	-	-	Yes	33	UMS Jaratkar	-	-	Yes
15	UMS Baghmari	-	-	Yes	34	MS Lilatari	-	-	Yes
16	UMS Dumaria II	-	-	Yes	35	MS Baghjopa	-	-	Yes
17	UMS Beltikri (U)	-	-	Yes	36	AMS Jama	-	-	Yes
18	MS Sahara	-	-	Yes	37	MS Jama (G)	-	-	Yes
19	MS Basukinath	-	-	Yes					

Table No. 11 List of Schools Not Providing Soap/Detergent for Washing

SN	Name of the Schools	SN	Name of the Schools
01	AMS Gandhinagar	20	MS Jarmundi (B)
02	UMS Rakhabani	21	MS Dudhani
03	MS Dudhani (H)	22	MS Jarmundi (G)
04	MS SRK Ashram	23	UPS Karla
05	PS Kanhaiyapur	24	UPS Dhanaspur
06	UPS Kurmakita	25	PS Sirsanath
07	PS Ghotopi	26	PS Ghoribad
08	UPS Sogra Dangal	27	PS Meghi Santhali
09	UPS Tilberia	28	UMS Asanthar
10	PS Madapur	29	UMS Asanjore
11	PS Kusmaha Chikania	30	UMS Baisa
12	PS Chordiha	31	UMS Bandha
13	PS Birajpur	32	UMS Silanda
14	UMS Jamua	33	UMS Jaratkar
15	UMS Baghmari	34	MS Lilatari
16	UMS Dumaria II	35	MS Baghjopa
17	UMS Beltikri (U)	36	AMS Jama
18	MS Sahara	37	MS Jama (G)
19	MS Basukinath		

Table No. 12 List of Schools with No Logo and Toll Free Number on the Wall

SN	Name of the Schools	SN	Name of the Schools
01	AMS Gandhinagar	20.	MS Jarmundi (B)
02	UMS Rakhabani	21.	MS Dudhani
03	MS Dudhani (H)	22	MS Jarmundi (G)

04	MS SRK Ashram	23	UPS Karla
05	PS Kanhaiyapur	24	UPS Dhanaspur
06	UPS Kurmakita	25	PS Sirsanath
07	PS Ghotopi	26	PS Ghoribad
08	UPS Sogra Dangal	27	PS Meghi Santhali
09	UPS Tilberia	28	UMS Asanthar
10	PS Madapur	29	UMS Asanjore
11	PS Kusmaha Chikania	30	UMS Baisa
12	PS Chordiha	31	UMS Bandha
13	PS Birajpur	32	UMS Silanda
14	UMS Jamua	33	UMS Jaratkar
15	UMS Baghmari	34	MS Lilatari
16	UMS Dumaria II	35	MS Baghjopa
17	UMS Beltikri (U)	36	AMS Jama
18	MS Sahara	37	MS Jama (G)
19	MS Basukinath		

ANNEXURE - I**List of Schools with DISE Code visited by MI: District Dumka**

SN	Name of School	DISE Code	SN	Name of school	DISE Code
01.	AMS Gandhinagar	0128301	21.	MS Jarmundi (B)	0556601
02.	UMS Rakhabani	0101602	22.	MS Dudhani	0516901
03.	MS Dudhani (H)	0121301	23.	MS Jarmundi (G)	0552702
04.	MS SRK Ashram	0117401	24.	KGBV Jarmundi	0523104
05.	KGBV Dumka	0100102	25.	UPS Karla	0432901
06.	PS Kanhaiyapur	0131201	26.	UPS Dhanaspur	0408301
07.	UPS Kurmakita	0530701	27.	PS Sirsanath	0429401
08.	PS Ghotopi	0526101	28.	PS Ghoribad	0411201
09.	UPS Sogra Dangal	0530701	29.	PS Meghi Santhali	0421601
10.	UPS Tilberia	0551401	30.	UMS Asanthar	0401701
11.	PS Madapur	0548601	31.	UMS Asanjore	0401401
12.	PS Kusmaha Chikania	0551401	32.	UMS Baisa	0402401
13.	PS Chordiha	0513002	33.	UMS Bandha	0403101
14.	PS Birajpur	0532401	34.	UMS Silanda	0429101
15.	UMS Jamua	0513001	35.	UMS Jaratkar	0413801
16.	UMS Baghmari	0510301	36.	MS Lilatari	0419601
17.	UMS Dumaria II	0517201	37.	MS Baghjopa	0402001
18.	UMS Beltikri (U)	0508301	38.	AMS Jama	0428303
19.	MS Sahara	0545401	39.	MS Jama (G)	0413208
20.	MS Basukinath	0552562	40.	KGBV Jama	0401702

Name, Designations & Address of Persons Contacted: District Dumka

SN	Name of Contact Person	Post	Address	CD Block	Contact No.
01	Ashok Kumar Yadav	HM	AMS Gandhinagar	Dumka	94307-09092
02	Ms Premlata Yadav	HM	UMS Rakhabani	Dumka	84092-79084
03	Ms Margrita Marandi	HM	MS Dudhani (H)	Dumka	94313-10785
04	Jeewan Prasad Shah	HM	MS SRK Ashram	Dumka	94701-01428
05	Ms Satendila Soren	Wdn	KGBV Dumka	Dumka	97717-28737
06	Ms Priyanka Raut	HM	PS Kanhaiyapur	Jarmundi	91624-67848
07	Mahral Marandi	HM	UPS Kurmakita	Jarmundi	88091-63886
08	Ms Indu Kumari	HM	PS Ghotopi	Jarmundi	99553-25548
09	Amruddin Miyan	HM	UPS Sogra Dangal	Jarmundi	99554-39314
10	Sanjay Kumar Sharma	HM	UPS Tilwaria	Jarmundi	90068-69364
11	Ms Draupadi Kumari	HM	PS Madanpur	Jarmundi	80510-30840
12	Gauri Shankar Chaudhry	HM	PS Kusmaha Chikania	Jarmundi	77395-87984
13	Deonarayan Rai	HM	PS Chordiha	Jarmundi	99393-60276
14	Ms Sushmita Srivastava	HM	PS Birajpur	Jarmundi	74882-95132
15	Suresh Kumar Yadav	HM	UMS Jamua	Jarmundi	91991-43109
16	Sunil Tudu	HM	UMS Baghmari	Jarmundi	89667-85843
17	Diwakar Yadav	HM	UMS Dumaria II	Jarmundi	99311-37376
18	Md Imdadullah	HM	UMS Beltikri (U)	Jarmundi	90067-40811
19	Vibhuti Prasad Mandal	HM	MS Sahara	Jarmundi	98015-23072
20	Vinod Kumar Yadav	HM	MS Basukinath	Jarmundi	94319-45118
21	Dhananjay Kumar	HM	MS Jarmundi (B)	Jarmundi	94313-58278
22	Ram Sahankar Brahma	HM	MS Dudhani	Jarmundi	99553-83380
23	Diwakant Patralekh	HM	MS Jarmundi (G)	Jarmundi	94709-19871
24	Ms Jyoti Kujur	Wdn	KGBV Jarmundi	Jarmundi	82215-32584
25	Ms Gini Hemrom	HM	UPS Karla	Jama	91621-35746
26	Vinod Kumar Layak	HM	UPS Dhanaspur	Jama	96081-67318
27	Ms Dhyani Kumari	HM	PS Sirsanath	Jama	91999-53912
28	Ms Santoshini Berra	HM	PS Ghoribad	Jama	89691-45465
29	Varun Kumar	HM	PS Meghi Santhali	Jama	82925-41514
30	Ms Iva Baskey	HM	UMS Asanthar	Jama	90069-42221
31	Benedict Marandi	HM	UMS Asanjore	Jama	99738-23947
32	Ms Sanju Devi	HM	UMS Baisa	Jama	99737-62099
33	Ms Premlata Devi	HM	UMS Bandha	Jama	90068-95103
34	Ms Promila Soren	HM	UMS Silanda	Jama	94711-84868
35	Manikant Layak	HM	UMS Jaratkar	Jama	94313-19506
36	Mohanlal Hemrom	HM	MS Lilatari	Jama	97711-26245
37	Ms Premlata Devi	HM	MS Baghjopa	Jama	76778-15873
38	Deepak Kumar Singh	HM	AMS Jama	Jama	99343-23029
39	Dashrath Jha	HM	MS Jama (G)	Jama	91994-41924
40	Ms Rupa Kumari	Wdn	KGBV Jama	Jama	99057-94191

3 (c) Any other relevant documents

Please enclose the documents duly giving the title as Annexure II i.e. Whenever only circulars/Amendments/Notices planning to provide in the report

--



2nd Half Yearly Monitoring Report

on MDM

**for the State/UT of
JHARKHAND**

**for the period of
1st Oct. 2014 to 31st March 2015**

District Monitored/Covered

3. Jamtara

3. DISTRICT LEVEL HALF YEARLY MONITORING REPORT – JAMTARA

MHRD/NSG needs district wise information/observation as per the TOR 2013-2015 using this format for each district separately, for the districts monitored by the Monitoring Institution both for SSA and MDM tasks. Please provide district wise detailed report as per the TOR 2013-15.

3.1	Name of the District	Jamtara		
3.2	Date/Month of visit to the District	February 2015		
3.3	Number of elementary schools (PS/UPS) Centers covered/ monitored	PS - 15 UPS – 22 + 03		
1.	<u>REGULARITY IN SERVING MEAL:</u> Whether the school is serving hot cooked meal daily? If there was interruption, what was the extent and reasons for the same?	Students, Teachers & Parents		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hot and cooked meal is served in 26 (70%) sample schools, whereas there was some interruption in 11 (30%) schools. The extent of interruption ranges from 03-30 days and the reasons causing the interruption is delay in supply of food grains and release of cooking cost. 			
2.	<u>TRENDS:</u> Extent of variation (As per school records vis-à-vis actual on the day of visit)	School level registers, MDM Registers Head Teachers, Schools level MDM functionaries / Observation of the monitoring team.		
	No.	Details	The day previous to date of visit	
			On the day of visit	
	i.	Enrollment	6957	6957
	ii.	No. of children attending the school	5106	4982
	iii.	No. of children availing MDM as per MDM Register	5106	4982
iv.	No. of children actually availing MDM	5106	4968	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> On the day of visit, the attendance against the enrollment is recorded as 49 per cent and almost 99 per cent students actually had MDM. 			
3.	<u>REGULARITY IN DELIVERING FOOD GRAINS TO SCHOOL LEVEL:</u> (iv) Is school receiving food grain regularly? If there is delay in delivering food grains, what is the extent of delay and reasons for the same?	School level registers, MDM Registers, Head Teacher, School level MDM functionaries.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 30 (81%) sample schools are getting food grains regularly against 07 (19%) facing some delay. 			
	(v) Is buffer stock of one-month's requirement is maintained?	School level registers, MDM Registers, Head Teacher, School level MDM functionaries		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 30 (81%) schools do maintain the buffer stock as per requirement, barring 07 (19%) schools which are found not maintaining the monthly buffer stock. 			

	(vi) Is the food grains delivered at the school?	School level registers, MDM Registers, Head Teacher, School level MDM functionaries
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All the 37 (100%) sample schools are being provided the food grains directly,rby. 	
4.	<u>REGULARITY IN DELIVERING COOKING COST TO SCHOOL LEVEL:</u> (iii) Is school receiving cooking cost in advance regularly? If there is delay in delivering cooking costs, what is the extent of delay and reasons for it?	School level registers, MDM Registers, Head Teacher, School level MDM functionaries.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 21 (57%) sample schools are receiving the cooking cost in advance regularly, whereas 16 (43%) schools are not getting the cooking cost in advance. 	
	(iv) In case of delay, how schools manage to ensure that there is no disruption in the feeding programme?	School level registers, MDM Registers, Head Teacher, School level MDM functionaries.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In case of delay, interim arrangement is done by seeking the help of schools nearby or VECs/SMCs arrange of their own. 	
	(iii) Is cooking cost paid by Cash or through banking channel?	School level registers, MDM Registers, Head Teacher, School level MDM functionaries.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The cooking cost is paid through banks in all the sample schools. 	
5.	<u>SOCIAL EQUITY:</u> Did you observe any gender or caste or community discrimination in cooking or serving or seating arrangements?	Observations
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No gender, caste or community discrimination was observed in cooking, serving or seating arrangements in the sample schools. 	
6.	<u>VARIETY OF MENU:</u> (iv) Has the school displayed its weekly menu, and is it able to adhere to the menu displayed?	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 34(92%) sample schools have displayed the weekly menu and try their best to adhere to, whereas the menu was not displayed in 03 (8%) sample schools. 	
	(v) Is there variety in the food served or is the same food served daily?	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC/SMC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Variety in the food served was reported in all the 37 (100%) schools monitored.. 	
	(vi) Does the daily menu include rice / wheat preparation, dal and vegetables?	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC/SMC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In all the sample schools, rice, dal and vegetables are essentially included in the daily menu. However, wheat (Roti) is not served as a regular part of the daily menu. 	
7.	<u>QUALITY & QUANTITY OF MEAL:</u> Feedback from children on c) Quality of meal:	Observations of Investigation during MDM service
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The meal served is found to be neat/clean and tasty in 26 (70%) and not so clean and tasty in 11 (30%) sample schools. 	

	d) Quantity of meal:	Observations of Investigation during MDM service
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The quantity of the meal served is reported to be sufficient in all 36 (100%) sample schools. 	
	c) If children were not happy Please give reasons and suggestions to improve.	Observations of Investigation during MDM service
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In 37 (100%) sample schools, the children are happy in terms of quality and quantity of the meal. 	
8.	<u>SUPPLEMENTARY:</u>	Teachers, Students, School Record
	(v) Whether children are given micronutrients (Iron, folic acid, vitamin – A dosage) and de-worming medicine periodically?	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In 35 (95%) sample schools, the Iron Folic, Vitamin A and de-worming dosage have distributed, whereas nothing was provided in 02 (5%) sample school. 	
	(vi) Who administers these medicines and at what frequency?	Teachers, Students, School Record
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The service is administered by Govt. health department monthly or quarterly. 	
	(vii) Is there school Health Card maintained for each child?	Teachers, Students, School Record
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Health Card is yet to be provided to all 37 (100%) sample schools. 	
9.	<u>STATUS OF COOKS:</u>	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC/SMC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.
	(ii) Who cooks and serves the meal? (Cook/helper appointed by the Department or Self Help Group, or NGO or Contractor)	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In all the sample schools, the meals are cooked and served by appointed cooks usually known as Sanyojika (Convener) and Sahayika (Helper). 	
	(ii) Is the number of cooks and helpers adequate to meet the requirement of the school?	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC/SMC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In 32 (86%) sample schools the number of cooks/helpers is sufficient, whereas it is insufficient in the rest 05 (14%) schools monitored. 	
	(iii)What is remuneration paid to cooks/helpers?	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC/SMC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The helpers (Sahayika) are paid Rs. 1000/- as remuneration in the sample schools per month whereas, no remuneration is paid to the conveners, the Sanyojika. 	
	(viii) Are the remuneration paid to cooks/helpers regularly?	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC/SMC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In 28 (76%) the payment of remuneration is made regularly, however, it is paid irregularly in other 09 (24%) sample schools. 	
	(v) Social Composition of cooks /helpers? (SC/ST/OBC/Minority)	Observations and discussion with children teachers, parents, VEC/SMC members, Gram Panchayat members and cooks.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Of 106 Cooks/helpers identified in the sample schools, 56 (53%) belonged to Other Backward Community, 23 (22%) belonged to Minority, 14 (13%) Scheduled Caste and 13 (12%) cooks/helpers belonged to Scheduled Tribe. 	
10.	<p><u>INFRASTRUCTURE:</u> Is a pucca kitchen shed-cum-store:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Constructed and in use Constructed but not in use Under construction Sanctioned, but constructed not started Not sanctioned Any other (specify) 	School records, discussion with head teacher, teacher, VEC/SMC, Gram Panchayat members.
	<p>Information is to be given for point (a) , (b), (c) , (d) and (e)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 22(59%) schools have constructed kitchen shed cum store in use. In 05 (14%) schools constructed kitchen cum store are there, but not in use. In 01 (3%) of the school sampled, the construction is on progress. No sanctioned unit is pending to be started. In case of 09 (24%) schools, it is yet to be sanctioned. 	
11.	<p>In case the pucca kitchen shed is not available, where is the food being cooked and where are the food grains/other ingredients being stored?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 06 (16%) schools where the pucca kitchen is not available, provisional arrangement of kitchen shed is done either in class rooms, store rooms, old school buildings, veranda, hut or in open air as per the convenience. The same applies for 02 (5%) schools where kitchen is there but not being used. The food grains/other ingredients are stored in the store rooms of 29 (78%) schools, whereas the corners of the classrooms or staffrooms are used for the purpose in 08 (22%) schools visited. 	Discussion with head teacher, teacher, VEC/SMC, Gram Panchayat members, Observation
12.	<p>Whether potable water is available for cooking and drinking purpose?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In 27 (73%) sample schools potable water is available and in 10 (27%) schools visited potable water is not available for cooking and drinking. 	-do-
13.	<p>Whether utensils used for cooking food are adequate?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Likewise, 25 (68%) sample schools have sufficient utensils and in other 12 (32%) schools the utensils are insufficient for cooking/eating etc. 	Teachers/Organizer of MDM Programme
14.	<p>What is the kind of fuel used? (Gas based/firewood etc.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 32 (86%) sample schools are using coal, 04 (11%) sample schools are using firewood for cooking. The rest 02 (5%) schools are using coal, firewood and even gas depending upon the availability. 	Observation
15.	<p><u>SAFETY & HYGIENE:</u> ii. General Impression of the environment, Safety and hygiene:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The general impression of the environment, safety and hygiene is found to be good in 15 (41%) schools whereas average in 22 (59%) schools sampled for. 	Observation
	<p>ii. Are children encouraged to wash hands before and after eating?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In all the 37 sample schools, the children are encouraged to wash their hands before and after eating. 	Observation

	iii. Do the children take meals in an orderly manner? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In all the 37 sample schools the children do take meals in an orderly manner. 	Observation
	iv. Conservation of water? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In 37 (100%) sample schools water is conserved. 	Observation
	v. Is the cooking process and storage of fuel safe, not posing any fire hazard? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Likewise, in 37 (100%) sample schools, safety measures are being taken care of while cooking and storing fuel. 	Observation
16.	<u>COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION:</u> Extent of participation by Parents/VEC/SMC/Panchayats/Urban bodies in daily supervision, monitoring and participation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In case of 35 (94%) sample schools, community participation in terms of monitoring and supervision is a regular affair. It is done purely on casual basis in 01 (3%) school and in another 01 (3%) school, community participation never takes place. So far, none of the sample schools is reported to have received any contribution (cash/kind/labour) by the community. 	Discussion with head teacher, teacher, VEC/SMC, Gram Panchayat members
17.	<u>INSPECTION & SUPERVISION:</u> Has the mid day meal programme been inspected by any state/district/block level officers/officials? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> As reported, the monitoring and supervision is done in 31 (84%) sample schools by Block level officers/officials. However, in case of 09 (24%) schools it is monitored by the officials from both the Block and the District. The rest 04 (11%) schools were supervised by the officials from Block, District and by the authorities from the State as well. Apart from this, CRPs also monitor 03 schools per day. The monitoring is either carried out by visiting the site or by making a phone call to collect the updates. 	School records, discussion with head teacher, teachers, VEC/SMC, Gram Panchayat members
18.	<u>IMPACT:</u> Has the mid day meal improved the enrollment, attendance of children in school, general well being (nutritional status) of children? Is there any other incidental benefit due to serving cooked meal in schools? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In all the 37 sample schools, Mid Day Meal Scheme has improved the enrollment and attendance. It has been felt that there is a positive impact on general health/hygiene as well. 	School records, discussion with head teacher, teachers, students, VEC/SMC, Gram Panchayat members.
19.	<u>GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL MECHANISM:</u> i. Is any Grievance Redressal Mechanism in the district for MDMs? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, there is a Grievance Redressal Mechanism duly initiated /effective in the district, but nobody is aware of. 	School records, discussion with head teacher, teachers, students, VEC/SMC, Gram Panchayat members.
	ii. Whether the district, block, school having any Toll Free Number? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> It was neither written anywhere on the wall in the school premises, nor they are aware of. 	

LIST OF SCHOOLS AS PER KEY FINDINGS – JAMTARA

Table No. 01 List of Schools Not Providing Hot/Cooked Meal

SN	Name of the School
01	PS Naradih
02	UMS Duladih

Table No. 02 List of Schools with Not Received Food Grains Regularly

SN	Name of the School
01	PS Naradih
02	UMS Duladih

Table No. 03 List of Schools with No Transportation Cost for Lifting of Food Grains

SN	Name of the School	SN	Name of the School
01	PS Rupaidih	20.	UMS Harinarayanpur
02	UPS Simulberia	21.	UMS Gwaldangal
03	PS Rasiabhitha	22	UMS Inayatpur
04	PS Naradih	23	UMS Rampur
05	UHS Sahardal	24	UMS Bhangahir
06	UMS Sonbad	25	UMS Rasunpur
07	UMS Gaichhand	26	UPS Banberia
08	UHS Kitajhore	27	UPS Kusumdaha
09	UMS Raniganj	28	UPS Tarachatia
10	UMS Duladih	29	UPS Maldiha
11	UMS Piyalsol	30	UPS Murgadangal
12	MS Budhudih	31	PS Yashpur
13	AMS Jamtara	32	UMS Saluka
14	UPS Dumra	33	UMS Murgabani
15	UPS Chandradih	34	MS Khaira
16	UPS Khairapara	35	MS Paikbarh
17	UPS Sibram	36	AMS Kundait
18	UPS Dhobna	37.	MS Kundait (G)
19	UPS Pahargora		

Table No. 04 List of Schools Not Received Cooking Cost Regularly

SN	Name of the Schools
01	PS Naradih
02	UMS Duladih

Table No. 05 List of Schools with Menu Not Displayed

SN	Name of the Schools	SN	Name of the Schools
01.	UPS Kusumdaha	04.	UMS Gwaldangal
02.	UPS Sibram	05.	AMS Kundait
03.	PS Yashpur		

Table No. 06 List of Schools Not Providing Supplementary Food

SN	Name of the Schools	Supplementary Food		Health Register	
		Yes	No	Maintained	Updated
01.	UPS Kusumdaha	-	No	Yes	No
02.	UPS Dumra	-	No	Yes	No
03.	UPS Chandradih	-	No	Yes	No
04.	PS Rasiabhitha	-	No	Yes	No
05.	PS Naradih	-	No	Yes	No

Table No. 07 List of Schools with No Remuneration to Cooks/Helpers Regularly

SN	Name of the Schools	SN	Name of the Schools
02	UPS Simulberia	21.	UMS Gwaldangal
03	PS Rasiabhitha	22	UMS Inayatpur
04	PS Naradih	23	UMS Rampur
05	UHS Sahardal	24	UMS Bhangahir
06	UMS Sonbad	25	UMS Rasunpur
07	UMS Gaichhand	26	UPS Banberia
08	UHS Kitajhore	27	UPS Kusumdaha
09	UMS Raniganj	28	UPS Tarachatia
10	UMS Duladih	29	UPS Maldiha
11	UMS Piyalsol	30	UPS Murgadangal
12	MS Budhudih	31	PS Yashpur
13	AMS Jamtara	32	UMS Saluka
14	UPS Dumra	33	UMS Murgabani
15	UPS Chandradih	34	MS Khaira
16	UPS Khairapara	35	MS Paikbarh
17	UPS Sibram	36	AMS Kundait
18	UPS Dhobna	37.	MS Kundait (G)
19	UPS Pahargora		

Table No. 08 List of Schools with No Pucca Kitchen cum Storeroom

SN	Name of the Schools	SN	Name of the Schools
01.	PS Rasiabhitha	06.	UMS Paikbarh
02.	UPS Chandradih	07.	UMS Rasanpur
03.	UPS Kusumdaha	08.	UHS Sahardal
04.	UPS Maldiha	09.	UMS Khaira
05.	PS Yashpur		

Table No. 09 List of Schools with Insufficient Utensils

SN	Name of the Schools	SN	Name of the Schools
01.	PS Rasiabhitha	06.	UMS Harinarayanpur
02.	PS Rupauidih	07.	UMS Budhudih
03.	PS Naradih	08.	AMS Kundait
04.	UMS Sonbad	09.	AMS Jamtara
05.	UMS Duladih	10.	MS Kundait (G)

Table No. 10 List of Schools Using LPG and Other Fuels for Cooking

SN	Name of the Schools	LPG	Coal	Wood	SN	Name of the Schools	LPG	Coal	Wood
01	PS Rupaidih	Yes	-	Yes	20.	UMS Harinarayanpur	-	-	Yes
02	UPS Simulberia	-	-	Yes	21.	UMS Gwaldangal	-	-	Yes
03	PS Rasiabhitha	-	-	Yes	22	UMS Inayatpur	-	-	Yes
04	PS Naradih	-	-	Yes	23	UMS Rampur	-	-	Yes
05	UHS Sahardal	-	Yes	Yes	24	UMS Bhangahir	-	Yes	Yes
06	UMS Sonbad	-	-	Yes	25	UMS Rasunpur	-	Yes	Yes
07	UMS Gaichhand	-	Yes	Yes	26	UPS Banberia	-	-	Yes
08	UHS Kitajhore	-	Yes	Yes	27	UPS Kusumdaha	-	Yes	Yes
09	UMS Raniganj	-	-	Yes	28	UPS Tarachatia	-	-	Yes
10	UMS Duladih	-	Yes	Yes	29	UPS Maldiha	-	Yes	Yes
11	UMS Piyalsol	-	-	Yes	30	UPS Murgadangal	-	-	Yes
12	MS Budhudih	-	-	Yes	31	PS Yashpur	-	-	Yes
13	AMS Jamtara	-	-	Yes	32	UMS Saluka	-	Yes	Yes
14	UPS Dumra	-	-	Yes	33	UMS Murgabani	-	-	Yes
15	UPS Chandradih	-	Yes	Yes	34	MS Khaira	-	Yes	Yes
16	UPS Khairapara	-	-	Yes	35	MS Paikbarh	-	Yes	Yes
17	UPS Sibram	-	-	Yes	36	AMS Kundait	-	-	Yes
18	UPS Dhobna	-	-	Yes	37.	MS Kundait (G)	-	-	Yes
19	UPS Pahargora	-	-	Yes					

Table No. 11 List of Schools Not Provided with Soap/Detergent for Washing

SN	Name of the Schools	SN	Name of the Schools
01	PS Rupaidih	20.	UMS Harinarayanpur
02	UPS Simulberia	21.	UMS Gwaldangal
03	PS Rasiabhitha	22	UMS Inayatpur
04	PS Naradih	23	UMS Rampur
05	UHS Sahardal	24	UMS Bhangahir
06	UMS Sonbad	25	UMS Rasunpur
07	UMS Gaichhand	26	UPS Banberia
08	UHS Kitajhore	27	UPS Kusumdaha
09	UMS Raniganj	28	UPS Tarachatia
10	UMS Duladih	29	UPS Maldiha
11	UMS Piyalsol	30	UPS Murgadangal
12	MS Budhudih	31	PS Yashpur
13	AMS Jamtara	32	UMS Saluka
14	UPS Dumra	33	UMS Murgabani
15	UPS Chandradih	34	MS Khaira
16	UPS Khairapara	35	MS Paikbarh
17	UPS Sibram	36	AMS Kundait
18	UPS Dhobna	37.	MS Kundait (G)
19	UPS Pahargora		

Table No. 12 List of Schools with No Logo and Toll Free Number on the Wall

SN	Name of the Schools	SN	Name of the Schools
01	PS Rupaidih	20.	UMS Harinarayanpur
02	UPS Simulberia	21.	UMS Gwaldangal
03	PS Rasiabhitha	22	UMS Inayatpur
04	PS Naradih	23	UMS Rampur
05	UHS Sahardal	24	UMS Bhangahir
06	UMS Sonbad	25	UMS Rasunpur
07	UMS Gaichhand	26	UPS Banberia
08	UHS Kitajhore	27	UPS Kusumdaha
09	UMS Raniganj	28	UPS Tarachatia
10	UMS Duladih	29	UPS Maldiha
11	UMS Piyalsol	30	UPS Murgadangal
12	MS Budhudih	31	PS Yashpur
13	AMS Jamtara	32	UMS Saluka
14	UPS Dumra	33	UMS Murgabani
15	UPS Chandradih	34	MS Khaira
16	UPS Khairapara	35	MS Paikbarh
17	UPS Sibram	36	AMS Kundait
18	UPS Dhobna	37.	MS Kundait (G)
19.	UPS Pahargora		

ANNEXURE - I**List of Schools with DISE code visited by MI: District Jamtara**

SN	Name of School	DISE Code	SN	Name of school	DISE Code
01.	PS Rupaidih	1120801	21.	UMS Harinarayanpur	1411901
02.	UPS Simulberia	1134301	22.	UMS Gwaldangal	1411301
03.	PS Rasiabhitha	1120501	23.	UMS Inayatpur	1412101
04.	PS Naradih	1116601	24.	UMS Rampur	1422801
05.	UHS Sahardal	1121201	25.	UMS Bhangahir	1405301
06.	UMS Sonbad	1123701	26.	UMS Rasunpur	1405001
07.	UMS Gaichhand	1126501	27.	KGBV Kundait	1417407
08.	UHS Kitajhore	1113801	28.	UPS Banberia	1337101
09.	UMS Raniganj	1120401	29.	UPS Kusumdaha	1341301
10.	UMS Duladih	1107501	30.	UPS Tarachatia	1348401
11.	UMS Piyalsol	1119101	31.	UPS Maldiha	1338201
12.	MS Budhudih	1104201	32.	UPS Murgadangal	1336701
13.	AMS Jamtara	1126701	33.	PS Yashpur	1315201
14.	KGBV Jamtara	1109902	34.	UMS Saluka	1331001
15.	UPS Dumra	1438101	35.	UMS Murgabani	1324001
16.	UPS Chandradih	1429901	36.	MS Khaira	1317801
17.	UPS Khairapara	1429601	37.	MS Paikbarh	1326501
18.	UPS Sibram	1432201	38.	AMS Kundait	1417401
19.	UPS Dhobna	1408901	39.	MS Kundait (G)	1417402
20.	UPS Pahargora	1439501	40.	KGBV Nala	1324207

Name, Designations & Address of Persons Contacted: District Jamtara

SN	Name of Contact Person	Post	Address	CD Block	Contact No.
01	Ms Pratima Shah	HM	PS Rupaidih	Jamtara	93082-38307
02	Gopal Mahato	HM	UPS Simulberia	Jamtara	82351-91837
03	Rajendra Gorai	HM	PS Rasiabhitha	Jamtara	80841-36805
04	Amsuddin Ansari	HM	PS Naradih	Jamtara	97981-61627
05	Biren Mandal	HM	UHS Sahardal	Jamtara	77819-37793
06	Manoranjan Kumar Jha	HM	UMS Sonbad	Jamtara	94318-28058
07	Mahir Zuban	HM	UMS Gaichhand	Jamtara	94303-47320
08	Anil Kumar Jha	HM	UHS Kitajhore	Jamtara	99551-11784
09	Ms Tarula Bala Ghosh	HM	UMS Raniganj	Jamtara	95251-50669
10	Ms Shikha Rani Nayak	HM	UMS Duladih	Jamtara	91627-62501
11	Ms Asha Rani	HM	UMS Piyalsol	Jamtara	95723-02016
12	Ms Bilkisun Nisha	Wdn	MS Budhudih	Jamtara	93048-89093
13	Salil Kumar Khan	HM	AMS Jamtara	Jamtara	48355-05706
14	Ms Manju Rani	HM	KGBV Jamtara	Kundait	98351-36248
15	Ms Seema Maji	HM	UPS Dumra	Kundait	85215-72321
16	Babujan Hansda	HM	UPS Chandradih	Kundait	72505-85777
17	Sukhdeo Gorai	HM	UPS Khairapara	Kundait	80849-91101
18	Ms Pratima Rani Mandal	HM	UPS Sibram	Kundait	91623-13735
19	Ms Dulali Hemrom	HM	UPS Dhobna	Kundait	85818-46623
20	Sitaram Hemrom	HM	UPS Pahargora	Kundait	80849-90510
21	Dinanath Paul	HM	UMS Harinarayanpur	Kundait	96311-80692
22	Manik Chandra Ghosh	HM	UMS Gwaldangal	Kundait	95467-74743
23	Paresh Chandra Gorai	HM	UMS Inayatpur	Kundait	99738-17443
24	Madan Mohan Bhandari	HM	UMS Rampur	Kundait	82928-69211
25	Utpal Chakravarti	HM	UMS Bhangahir	Kundait	82928-69211
26	Prahlad Kumar Mandal	HM	UMS Rasunpur	Kundait	74076-56225
27	Ms Archana Lakra	HM	KGBV Kundait	Kundait	95076-55605
28	Malay Kumar Rai	HM	UPS Banberia	Kundait	93089-12856
29	Mohit Kumar Yadav	Wdn	UPS Kusumdaha	Kundait	90067-58836
30	Ms Rita Mandal	HM	UPS Tarachatia	Nala	89698-27993
31	Jibandhan Mitra	HM	UPS Maldiha	Nala	98327-16609
32	Ms Hiramani Hemrom	HM	UPS Murgadangal	Nala	93081-87351
33	Thakur Hemrom	HM	PS Yashpur	Nala	89695-39647
34	Tapan Kumar Yadav	HM	UMS Saluka	Nala	72502-16576
35	Ujjwal Kumar Mandal	HM	UMS Murgabani	Nala	93087-11193
36	Lakshman Jha	HM	MS Khaira	Nala	82925-27901
37	Dwijpad Mandal	HM	MS Paikbarh	Nala	99058-11935
38	Swapan Kumar Patar	HM	AMS Kundait	Nala	94703-03944
39	Ms Kalyani De	HM	MS Kundait (G)	Nala	98019-22184
40	Ms Reena Roseline Murmu	Wdn	KGBV Nala	Nala	87577-11777

Any other relevant documents

3 (c) Any other relevant documents

Please enclose the documents duly giving the title as Annexure II i.e. Whenever only circulars/Amendments/Notices planning to provide in the report

--